

DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF MALAYSIAN PEAT SOIL BASED ON FIELD
GEOPHYSICAL METHODS

KASBI BIN BASRI

A thesis submitted in
fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Built Environment
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

AUGUST 2023

For my beloved wife, son, mother, father and families.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to forward my appreciation to my beloved wife Siti Zu Nurain binti Ahmad and son Muhammad Khalis Zahran bin Kasbi for their unconditional love, support and patience throughout my studies. My parents, Basri bin Laining and Baya binti Bali and my father and mother in laws Ahmad bin Othong and Zanariah binti Halim for their continued support mentally and financially. Their support and prayer were the key to my success. To my siblings Kasriyani, Nursyafienah, Nursyafieqah and Nursyaliefah, thank you for your love which gave me strength to pursue my dream.

Next, I would like to forward my gratitude to my main supervisor, Prof. Ir. Ts. Dr. Adnan bin Zainorabidin for his guide and advice throughout my PhD journey. Always giving me strength to complete my study when the path seems dark. To all my co-supervisors, Dr. Khaidir bin Abu Talib, Prof. Ts. Dr. Aziman bin Madun and Ir. Ts. Dr. Habib bin Mohamad, thank you also for your guide and advice.

Finally, to all my fellow friends, thank you for your assistance and advice.

ABSTRACT

The small strain dynamic properties of peat soil are a fundamental parameter related to the mechanical behavior of a structure constructed on peat ground. Lab-based studies are frequently used to determine the dynamic properties despite the risk of underestimating or overestimating the values due to sample disturbance. This study performed a geophysical method known as multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW) to determine peat's in-situ dynamic properties. Meanwhile, seismic refraction (SR), electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) and peat sampler were conducted to delineate the peat stratigraphy. The study locations include Parit Nipah, Tanjung Piai, Pontian, Sedenak, Medan Sari and Klias. To ensure high-quality data was obtained, the optimum field configuration for the active MASW method was established for peat conditions. Based on the finding, high-resolution data were obtained using, $dx=1\text{m}$, $X_1=1/2L$, an active source of 7 kg sledgehammer with rubber plate, 5 data stackings, 4.5 Hz geophone type and 100 to 250 μs of the sampling interval. The optimum field configuration established resulted in higher accuracy data collection and analysis of the dynamic properties. The results showed that the value of V_s , V_p , $G_{\max}$ and $E_{\max}$ ranged from 24.5 to 67.1 m/s, 300 to 577 m/s, 0.4 to 7.1 MPa and 1.2 to 21.1 MPa, respectively. Correlations were also established between the dynamic properties and index properties of peat. There appears to be an excellent link between peat's dynamic properties and index properties. The dynamic properties show an increasing pattern with decreasing moisture, organic and fiber content. Meanwhile, an increase in specific gravity and bulk density results in an increase in dynamic properties. Overall, peat's dynamic properties were similar and in good agreement with the laboratory-based dynamic properties from the literature. Finally, the findings in this study contributed to improving the understanding of the dynamic behavior of peat especially for settlement prediction and embankment design.

ABSTRAK

Ciri-ciri dinamik ketegangan kecil tanah gambut adalah parameter asas yang berkait dengan sifat-sifat mekanikal struktur yang dibina di atas tanah gambut. Penentuan sifat-sifat dinamik tanah gambut kebiasaannya menggunakan ujikaji di makmal walaupun terdedah dengan risiko anggaran berlebihan dan berkurangan akibat sampel terganggu. Kaedah geofizik yang antaranya *multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW)* telah diguna pakai dalam kajian ini untuk menentukan ciri-ciri dinamik gambut di tapak. Manakala *seismic refraction (SR)*, *electrical resistivity tomography (ERT)* dan pensampel gambut dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti stratigrafi gambut. Lokasi kajian merangkumi Parit Nipah, Tanjung Piai, Pontian, Sedenak, Medan Sari dan Klias. Untuk memastikan ketepatan data, konfigurasi optimum di tapak untuk penggunaan *MASW* aktif untuk gambut telah dikaji. Berdasarkan dapatan, data resolusi tinggi diperoleh menggunakan, $dx=1m$, $X_1=1/2L$, sumber tenaga aktif tukul besi 7kg dengan plat getah, 5 tindihan data, jenis geofon 4.5 Hz, dan selang persampelan 100 ke 250 μs . Konfigurasi optimum yang diwujudkan berhasil mendapatkan data dan analisa yang lebih tepat. Hasil dapatan menunjukkan nilai V_s , V_p , G_{max} dan E_{max} berada dalam lingkungan masing-masing dari 24.5 ke 67.1 m/s, 300 ke 577 m/s, 0.4 ke 7.1 MPa dan 1.2 ke 21.1 MPa. Korelasi juga telah diwujudkan diantara ciri-ciri dinamik dan ciri-ciri indeks gambut. Kaitan khusus yang baik antara ciri-ciri dinamik dan ciri-ciri indeks gambut diperoleh. Ciri-ciri dinamik menunjukkan corak menaik dengan penurunan nilai kandungan kelembapan, kandungan organik dan kandungan serat. Manakala, kenaikan pada graviti spesifik dan ketumpatan basah menghasilkan ciri-ciri dinamik menaik. Secara keseluruhan, ciri-ciri dinamik gambut yang diperoleh berada pada lingkungan magnitud yang sama dan menyamai nilai yang diperoleh melalui uji kaji makmal dalam tinjauan literatur. Akhir sekali, hasil dapatan dalam kajian ini dapat menyumbang dalam menambah baik kefahaman ciri-ciri dinamik tanah gambut dan terutama melibatkan jangkaan mendapan dan rekabentuk tambakan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Preamble	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Aim and objectives	5
1.4 Scope of the study	6
1.4.1 Determination of index properties of peat and comparison of peat stratum	6
1.4.2 Establishing optimum field configuration for active MASW method and determine the in-situ dynamic properties	6
1.4.3 Determination of the relationship between dynamic properties and index properties	7
1.5 Significance of study	8
1.6 Thesis layout	8

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Description of peat soil	10
2.3 Geotechnical properties of peat	13
2.3.1 Classification of peat	13
2.3.2 Index properties	14
2.3.3 Previous correlations between index properties of peat	15
2.3.4 Dynamic properties of soil	24
2.3.4.1 Shear wave velocity	25
2.3.4.2 Shear modulus	26
2.4 Challenges of laboratory-based tests on peat soil	28
2.5 Peat lithology and stratigraphy	29
2.5.1 Intrusive methods	30
2.5.2 Non-intrusive methods	31
2.6 Seismic waves	34
2.6.1 P-Wave	35
2.6.2 S-Wave	36
2.6.3 Rayleigh wave	37
2.7 Surface waves method	37
2.8 Multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW)	38
2.8.1 Studies on field data acquisition configuration	39
2.8.1.1 Receiver spacing	41
2.8.1.2 Source offset	43
2.8.1.3 Number of receivers	44
2.8.1.4 Source weight and type of source plate	45
2.8.1.5 Stacking	46
2.8.1.6 Sampling interval time	48
2.8.2 Data processing and analyzing	48
2.8.2.1 Fundamental mode determination	51
2.8.2.2 Filtering and muting	52

2.8.2.3 Iterations	52
2.9 Chapter summary	53
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	54
3.1 Introduction	54
3.2 Site description	56
3.3 Soil profiling and sampling	60
3.4 Laboratory test	62
3.4.1 Index properties test	62
3.4.1.1 Degree of humification test	63
3.4.1.2 Moisture content test	63
3.4.1.3 Organic content test	65
3.4.1.4 Fiber content test	66
3.4.1.5 Specific gravity test	68
3.4.1.6 pH test	68
3.4.1.7 Bulk density test	70
3.5 Field test	70
3.5.1 Layout of field tests	71
3.5.2 Soil stratigraphy mapping using geophysical methods	72
3.5.3 Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) test	73
3.5.3.1 Instruments preparation	74
3.5.3.2 Determination of optimum configuration of MASW	75
3.5.3.3 Data analysis	80
3.5.4 Seismic refraction (SR) method	86
3.6 Computation of shear wave velocity, maximum shear modulus, maximum elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio	87
3.7 Correlation between dynamic properties and index properties	88
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	89

4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	Index properties tests result	89
4.3	Determination of peat soil stratigraphy	103
4.3.1	Peat sampler	107
4.3.2	Seismic Refraction (SR)	110
4.3.3	Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)	117
4.3.4	Comparison of soil stratigraphy between conventional and geophysical methods	122
4.4	Establishing optimum field configuration for active MASW method	123
4.4.1	2-Dimensional dispersion image	124
4.4.1.1	Receiver spacing	124
4.4.1.2	Source offset	129
4.4.1.3	Source weight and source plate	133
4.4.1.4	Stacking	139
4.4.1.5	Sensor frequency	141
4.4.1.6	Sampling interval	144
4.4.2	1-Dimensional cross section of the dispersion images	145
4.4.2.1	Receiver spacing	145
4.4.2.2	Source offset	153
4.4.2.3	Source weight and source plate	156
4.4.2.4	Stacking	158
4.4.2.5	Sensor frequency	159
4.4.2.6	Sampling interval	162
4.4.3	Shot gathers analysis using MATLAB	164
4.4.3.1	Receiver spacing	166
4.4.3.2	Source offset	166
4.4.3.3	Source weight and source plate	167
4.4.3.4	Stacking	168
4.4.3.5	Sensor frequency	170

4.4.3.6 Sampling interval	170
4.5 Shear-wave velocity and primary wave velocity	171
4.6 Shear-wave velocity derived from primary-wave velocity	178
4.7 Poisson's ratio	182
4.8 Maximum shear modulus ($G_{\max}$) and maximum elastic modulus ($E_{\max}$)	182
4.9 Correlation between dynamic properties and index properties	188
4.9.1 Dynamic properties vs moisture content	188
4.9.2 Dynamic properties vs organic content	195
4.9.3 Dynamic properties vs fiber content	201
4.9.4 Dynamic properties vs specific gravity	208
4.9.5 Dynamic properties vs bulk densities	208
4.10 Summary	214
4.10.1 Index properties test	217
4.10.2 Optimum field configuration on peat soil location	226
4.10.3 Peat soil dynamic properties	229
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	233
5.1 Introduction	233
5.2 Conclusions	233
5.2.1 Objective 1: To determine the index properties of peat soil	234
5.2.2 Objective 2: To compare the peat soil stratum obtained using peat sampler, seismic refraction (SR) and electrical resistivity tomography (ERT)	235
5.2.3 Objective 3: To establish the optimum configurations for multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW) and determine the in-situ dynamic properties of peat soil	235

5.2.4 Objective 4: To determine the relationship between index properties and dynamic properties of peat soil	236
5.3 Key contributions from research	237
5.4 Recommendation for further work	237
REFERENCES	239
APPENDIX A	261
VITA	270



PTTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Distribution of peat area in Malaysia (Jon et al., 2010)	11
2.2	Distribution of peat area in Peninsular Malaysia (Jon et al., 2010)	12
2.3	The area of peat land in some countries of the world (Mesri and Ajlouni, 2007)	12
2.4	The Von Post scale of humification (Von Post, 1922)	14
2.5	USDA peat classification (Huat <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	14
2.6	Summary of some studies on index properties of peat	16
2.7	Field and laboratory test employed for dynamic investigation of soil (Kumar, Krishna and Dey, 2013)	25
2.8	Site class definition (Uniform Building Code, 1997)	26
2.9	Summary of some of the studies on peat shear wave velocity	27
2.10	Surface waves method limitations (Adopted from Hunter and Crow (2015)	39
2.11	List of recommended source offset distance from previous studies	45
3.1	Summary of the tested parameters and references	63
3.2	List of ERT survey equipment	73
3.3	List of configuration tests	76
4.1	Degree of humification of peat at study locations	90
4.2	Index properties for Parit Nipah peat (PNPt)	91
4.3	Index properties for Tanjung Piai peat (TPPt)	91
4.4	Index properties for Pontian peat (PPt)	91
4.5	Index properties for Sedenak peat (SPt)	92
4.6	Index properties for Medan Sari peat (MSPt)	92
4.7	Bulk density for Klias peat (KPt)	92

4.8	Recovery ratio from borehole 1 at MARDI, Pontian	104
4.9	Recovery ratio from borehole 2 at MARDI, Pontian	105
4.10	Recovery ratio from borehole 3 at MARDI, Pontian	105
4.11	Summary of the index properties of peat	200
4.12	Summary of optimum field configuration on peat soil	226
4.13	Summary of peat soil's dynamic properties	232



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Correlation of water content with loss on ignition in Malaysia (Kazemian <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	20
2.2	Correlation of water content with loss on ignition worldwide (O'Loughlin and Lehane, 2003)	20
2.3	Specific gravity vs organic content (Kazemian <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	21
2.4	Correlation of specific gravity with loss on ignition for Irish peat (O'Loughlin and Lehane, 2003)	21
2.5	Bulk density vs organic content (Kazemian <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	22
2.6	Bulk density vs loss on ignition (Al-Raziqi, Huat and Munzir, 2003a)	22
2.7	Bulk density vs water content (Huat <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	23
2.8	SR result (Adewoyin <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	31
2.9	SR results (Riahi <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	32
2.10	ERT result (Ramdhani <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	33
2.11	ERT result (Yusa <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	33
2.12	The particle motions when the seismic waves pass the medium (Hunter and Crow, 2015)	36
2.13	Dispersion images of multichannel surface wave records acquired with receiver spreads of different lengths and with different source offsets (Olafsdottir, Bessason and Erlingsson, 2018)	42
2.14	Bulk Fundamental mode dispersion curve estimates obtained by using receiver spreads of different lengths (Olafsdottir <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	43
2.15	Dispersion images processed from SWTR field records equivalent of 120-channel recording with four different source offsets (X_1).	

	Maximum wavelength ($\lambda_{\max}$) analyzable is marked on each image (Park and Carnevale, 2010)	44
2.16	Typical power spectrum of the signals recorded with 8 kg sledgehammer and 40 kg PEG; (a) Site-1 (b) Site-2 (Taipodia, Baglari and Dey, 2019)	46
2.17	Dispersion images obtained at Site-1 with; (a) No stack, (b) One stack, (c) Two stack, (d) Three stack, (e) Four stack and (f) Five stack (Taipodia <i>et al.</i> , 2018b)	47
2.18	Typical wavefield records of 5120 samples collected at Site-1 using various sampling frequencies: (a) 15 000 Hz, (b) 7500 Hz, (c) 3750 Hz, (d) 2000 Hz, (e) 1000 Hz and (f) 500 Hz (Taipodia <i>et al.</i> , 2018c)	49
2.19	Dispersion images obtained from the wavefield records collected at Site-1 using various sampling frequencies: (a) 15 000 Hz, (b) 7500 Hz, (c) 3750 Hz, (d) 2000 Hz, (e) 1000 Hz (f) 500 Hz (Taipodia <i>et al.</i> , 2018c)	50
2.20	Normalized amplitude spectra obtained for different sample lengths. (a) Site-1 with a sampling frequency of 7500 Hz. (b) Site-2 with a sampling frequency of 15000 Hz (Taipodia <i>et al.</i> , 2018c)	51
2.21	Multi-mode in a dispersion curve	51
3.1	Flowchart of overall methodology	55
3.2	Location of test sites at Peninsular Malaysia	56
3.3	Location of test sites at East Malaysia	57
3.4	Parit Nipah site	58
3.5	Tanjung Piai site	58
3.6	Sedenak site	58
3.7	Medan Sari site	59
3.8	MARDI, Pontian site	59
3.9	Klias site	59
3.10	Peat sampling equipment	60
3.11	General procedure to obtain sample using peat sampling	61

3.12	(a) Peat sampling inserting position; (b) auger blade rotation during sample collection	62
3.13	Peat squeezing by hand; (a) peat passing between fingers and (b) peat remains after squeezing	64
3.14	Moisture content test on peat samples; (a) before oven-dried and (b) after oven-dried	64
3.15	Furnace use to conduct organic content test	65
3.16	Flowchart of fiber content test	67
3.17	Samples and chemicals preparation	67
3.18	Specific gravity test	69
3.19	pH test	69
3.20	Location of in-situ tests	71
3.21	Equipment arrangement and shot locations for Seismic refraction survey	72
3.22	Images for ERT survey equipment	74
3.23	Field arrangement for long and short setup	74
3.24	MASW instruments	75
3.25	General layout of active MASW field configuration	76
3.26	Flowchart of investigation of optimum configuration	78
3.27	The waveform file	81
3.28	Geometry dialog box	82
3.29	Phase velocity and frequency end values	82
3.30	Minimum and maximum frequency values	83
3.31	Dispersion image and fundamental mode dispersion curve plotting	83
3.32	The phase velocity value against frequency of the plotted dispersion curve	84
3.33	Initial model for inversion setup box	85
3.34	Number of iteration box	86
3.35	Final shear wave velocity profile	86
4.1	(a) Soil profile and (b) Index properties for Parit Nipah peat (PNPt)	96
4.2	(a) Soil profile and (b) Index properties for Tanjung Piai peat (TPPt)	97

4.3	(a) Soil profile and (b) Index properties for Pontian peat (PPt)	98
4.4	(a) Soil profile and (b) Index properties for Sedenak peat (SPt)	99
4.5	(a) Soil profile and (b) Index properties for Medan Sari peat (MSPt)	100
4.6	(a) Soil profile and (b) bulk density for S1 Klias peat (KPt)	101
4.7	(a) Soil profile and (b) bulk density for S2 Klias peat (KPt)	102
4.8	(a) Soil profile and (b) bulk density for S3 Klias peat (KPt)	103
4.9	Dispersion image of seismic signal; (a) Medan Sari (1.5 m peat), (b) Parit Nipah (4 m peat) and (c) Klias (6.8 m peat)	106
4.10	Soil stratigraphy at Parit Nipah and Tanjung Piai	108
4.11	Soil stratigraphy at MARDI, Pontian	108
4.12	Soil stratigraphy at Sedenak	109
4.13	Soil stratigraphy at Medan Sari	109
4.14	Soil stratigraphy at Klias, Beaufort	110
4.15	2-D V_p images for Parit Nipah	111
4.16	2-D V_p images for Tanjung Piai	111
4.17	2-D V_p images for Pontian	112
4.18	2-D V_p images for Sedenak	112
4.19	2-D V_p images for Medan Sari	112
4.20	2-D V_p images for Klias; (a) S1, (b) S2 and (c) S3	113
4.21	1-D V_p values compared with borehole data at study area	114
4.22	1-D V_p values compared with borehole data at Klias	116
4.23	2-D resistivity images; (a) S1, (b) S2 and (c) S3	118
4.24	Comparison between soil resistivity values and peat sampler data at Klias	119
4.25	2-D soil stratigraphy at Parit Nipah	121
4.26	Comparison between soil resistivity values and peat sampler data at Klias	122
4.27	Dispersion curves for soft clay (TF) with receiver spacing; (a) 0.5 m, (b) 1.0 m, (c) 1.5 m	126
4.28	Dispersion curves for soft clay (RECESS) with receiver spacing; (a) 0.5 m, (b) 1.0 m, (c) 1.5 m	126

4.29	Dispersion curves for peat soil at Parit Nipah with receiver spacing; (a) 0.5 m, (b) 1.0 m, (c) 1.5 m	127
4.30	Dispersion curves for peat soil at Medan Sari with receiver spacing; (a) 0.5 m and (b) 1.0 m	127
4.31	Dispersion curves for soft clay (TF) with source offset; (a) 0 m, (b) 5.8 m, (c) 7.7 m, (d) 11.5 m and (e) 23m	130
4.32	Dispersion curves for soft clay (RECESS) with source offset; (a) 0 m, (b) 5.8 m, (c) 7.7 m, (d) 11.5 m and (e) 23m	131
4.33	Dispersion curves for peat soil at Parit Nipah with source offset; (a) 0 m, (b) 5.8 m, (c) 7.7 m (d) 11.5 m and (e) 23 m	132
4.34	Dispersion curves for peat soil at Medan Sari with source offset; (a) 0 m, (b) 5.8 m and (c) 11.5 m	133
4.35	Dispersion images obtained using steel plate on soft clay (TF); (a) 1 kg rubber hammer, (b) 2 kg steel hammer, (c) 7 kg sledgehammer and using rubber plate; (d) 1 kg rubber hammer, (e) 2 kg steel hammer, (f) 7 kg sledgehammer	135
4.36	Dispersion images obtained using steel plate on soft clay (RECESS); (a) 1 kg rubber hammer, (b) 2 kg steel hammer, (c) 7 kg sledgehammer and using rubber plate; (d) 1 kg rubber hammer, (e) 2 kg steel hammer, (f) 7 kg sledgehammer	136
4.37	Dispersion images obtained using steel plate at Parit Nipah peat soil; (a) 1 kg rubber hammer, (b) 2 kg steel hammer, (c) 7 kg sledgehammer and using rubber plate; (d) 1 kg rubber hammer, (e) 2 kg steel hammer, (f) 7 kg sledgehammer	137
4.38	Dispersion images obtained using steel plate at Medan sari peat soil; (a) 1 kg rubber hammer, (b) 2 kg steel hammer, (c) 7 kg sledgehammer and using rubber plate; (d) 1 kg rubber hammer, (e) 2 kg steel hammer, (f) 7 kg sledgehammer	138
4.39	Dispersion images obtained using different number of stackings on soft clay (TF); (a) single stack, (b) 5 stacks and (c) 10 stacks	140

4.40	Dispersion images obtained using different number of stackings on soft clay (RECESS); (a) single stack, (b) 5 stacks and (c) 10 stacks	140
4.41	Dispersion images obtained using different number of stackings at Parit Nipah peat soil; (a) single stack, (b) 5 stacks and (c) 10 stacks	141
4.42	Dispersion images obtained using different number of stackings at Medan Sari peat soil; (a) single stack, (b) 5 stacks and (c) 10 stacks	141
4.43	Dispersion images obtained using different sensor on soft clay (TF); (a) 4.5 Hz and (b) 28 Hz	142
4.44	Dispersion images obtained using different sensor on soft clay (RECESS); (a) 4.5 Hz and (b) 28 Hz	143
4.45	Dispersion images obtained using different sensors on Parit Nipah peat soil; (a) 4.5 Hz and (b) 28 Hz	143
4.46	Dispersion images obtained using different sensor on Medan Sari peat soil; (a) 4.5 Hz and (b) 28 Hz	144
4.47	Dispersion curves according to their respective sampling rate and number of samples for soft clay (TF); (a) 25 μ s and 16384, (b) 50 μ s and 16384, (c) 100 μ s and 16384, (d) 250 μ s and 8192, (e) 500 μ s and 4096, (f) 1000 μ s and 2048, (g) 2000 μ s and 1024	146
4.48	Dispersion curves according to their respective sampling rate and number of samples for soft clay (RECESS); (a) 25 μ s and 16384, (b) 50 μ s and 16384, (c) 100 μ s and 16384, (d) 250 μ s and 8192, (e) 500 μ s and 4096, (f) 1000 μ s and 2048, (g) 2000 μ s and 1024	147
4.49	Dispersion curves according to their respective sampling rate and number of samples for peat soil; (a) 25 μ s and 16384, (b) 50 μ s and 16384, (c) 100 μ s and 16384, (d) 250 μ s and 8192, (e) 500 μ s and 4096, (f) 1000 μ s and 2048, (g) 2000 μ s and 1024	148
4.50	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = Lowest frequency, f = 6 Hz, f = 10 Hz, f = 22 Hz and f = Highest frequency	149
4.51	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = Lowest frequency, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz, f = 13 Hz and f = Highest frequency	151

4.52	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = Lowest frequency, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz, f = 13 Hz and f = Highest frequency	152
4.53	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 4 Hz, f = 6 Hz, f = 10 Hz and f = 22 Hz	154
4.54	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2 Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	155
4.55	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2 Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	156
4.56	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 4 Hz, f = 6 Hz, f = 10 Hz and f = 22 Hz	157
4.57	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2 Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	158
4.58	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2 Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	159
4.59	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 4 Hz, f = 6 Hz, f = 10 Hz and f = 22 Hz	160
4.60	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	161
4.61	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	161
4.62	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 4 Hz, f = 6 Hz, f = 10 Hz and f = 22 Hz	163
4.63	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	163
4.64	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 4 Hz, f = 6 Hz, f = 10 Hz and f = 22 Hz	165
4.65	Cross sections through the dispersion images at f = 2Hz, f = 4 Hz, f = 8 Hz and f = 13 Hz	165
4.66	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	167

4.67	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	168
4.68	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	169
4.69	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	169
4.70	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	170
4.71	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	171
4.72	Amplitude and power spectrum for shot gather obtained at 1 st geophone data	172
4.73	V_s and V_p profile of peat soil layer at study location; (a) PNPt, (b) TPt, (c) PPt, (d) SPt, (e) MSPt and (f) KPt	175
4.74	MVS and SRVS profile of peat soil layer at study location; (a) PNPt, (b) TPt, (c) PPt, (d) SPt, (e) MSPt and (f-h) KPt	180
4.75	Maximum shear modulus of peat soil at study locations	184
4.76	Maximum elastic modulus of peat soil at study locations	186
4.77	Maximum shear modulus vs moisture content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	189
4.78	Maximum elastic modulus vs moisture content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	190
4.79	Shear wave velocity vs moisture content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	192
4.80	Primary wave velocity vs moisture content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	193

4.81	Maximum shear modulus vs organic content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	195
4.82	Maximum elastic modulus vs organic content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	197
4.83	Shear wave velocity vs organic content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	198
4.84	Primary wave velocity vs organic content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	200
4.85	Maximum shear modulus vs fiber content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	202
4.86	Maximum elastic modulus vs fiber content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	203
4.87	Shear wave velocity vs fiber content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	205
4.88	Primary wave velocity vs fiber content for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	206
4.89	Maximum shear modulus vs specific gravity for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	208
4.90	Maximum elastic modulus vs specific gravity for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	210

4.91	Shear wave velocity vs specific gravity for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	211
4.92	Primary wave velocity vs specific gravity for peat; (a) Highly decomposed, (b) moderately decomposed and (c) slightly decomposed	213
4.93	Maximum shear modulus vs bulk density	215
4.94	Maximum elastic modulus vs bulk density	215
4.95	Shear wave velocity vs bulk density	216
4.96	Primary wave velocity vs bulk density	216
4.97	Correlation between moisture content and organic content	220
4.98	Correlation between moisture content and fiber content	221
4.99	Correlation between moisture content and specific gravity	221
4.100	Correlation between organic content and fiber content	222
4.101	Correlation between specific gravity and organic content	222
4.102	Correlation between specific gravity and fiber content	223
4.103	Correlation between moisture content and bulk density	224
4.104	Correlation between organic content and bulk density	224
4.105	Correlation between specific gravity and bulk density	225
4.106	Correlation between bulk density and fiber content	225
4.107	Shear wave velocity of peat at study locations	232

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American society for testing and materials
dx	Receiver spacing
$E_{\max}$	Maximum elastic modulus
ERT	Electrical resistivity tomography
FC	Fiber content
$G_{\max}$	Maximum shear modulus
G_s	Specific gravity
L	Total spread length
MASW	Multichannel analysis of surface waves
OC	Organic content
SR	Seismic refraction
SNR	Signal-to-noise-ratio
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
V_p	Primary wave velocity
V_s	Shear wave velocity
w	Moisture content
X_1	Source offset
ρ	Density
$Z_{\max}$	Maximum depth of penetration
$\lambda_{\max}$	Maximum wavelength

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	List of publication	261



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble

Peatlands are recognized as challenging construction grounds in Malaysia. The distribution of peatlands in Malaysia is the 9th largest in the world, with 2.46 million hectares (Jon *et al.*, 2010; Mesri and Ajlouni, 2007). In recent years, the global peatland condition has been extensively studied to improve the current peatland area estimation. Xu *et al.* (2018) found that the current peatland estimation especially in Southeast Asian countries is lower as the peatland maps were mainly produced from the interpretation of satellite images with little ground survey data. However, due to limited available data, the estimation of the peatland area in Malaysia still refers to the data provided by Wetland International (Jon *et al.*, 2010). Sarawak contains the largest peatlands area, with approximately 1.7 million hectares, consisting of about 69 % of the total peatlands in Malaysia. Peninsular Malaysia and Sabah hold about 0.64 and 0.12 million hectares, estimated 26.2 and 4.8 %, respectively. Sabah and Johor are among the top states in Malaysia with a high volume of peatlands other than Sarawak. The distribution of peatlands in Malaysia is mainly in the coastal area and some in the inland area (Jon *et al.*, 2010). The peatlands are often avoided for construction as the understanding of their behavior and solution to encounter their problematic characteristic is very limited. The focus of city development in Malaysia is mainly on the coastal area, which increases the possibility of construction on peatlands. Thus, making dealing with peat soil unavoidable.

Commonly encountered problems involving construction on peat ground include bumpy roads due to differential settlement (Wijeyesekera *et al.*, 2016), embankment stability (Mohamad, Zainorabidin and Hassan, 2022), embankment failure due to large settlement (Abdurahman *et al.*, 2021) and long-term settlement (Jumien *et al.*, 2021). Understanding peat soil dynamic properties could provide a better understanding of its behavior, thus, minimizing the risk of failure during construction on peat ground.

Peat soil characteristics are different and challenging compared to other types of soils. Peat consists mainly of partially decomposed plant fragments and roots in their natural state. Peat also is characterized by very high water content, high organic content, high compressibility and low shear strength (Zainorabidin and Wijeyesekera, 2007). These characteristics cause conventional sampling methods and investigation challenges. Accessing sample collecting and getting high-quality, undisturbed samples are some of the obstacles. In addition, there is less knowledge on peat behavior since there is a lack of high-quality data. Peat behavior frequently results in differential settlement during construction, particularly when building roads. Significant short-term and long-term settlement causes major failure on structures constructed on peat ground. The impact of dynamic loading on peat determined this criterion. Because of this, it was essential for design evaluation and planning to comprehend how the peat's dynamic behavior affects the conception and construction of infrastructure. Moreover, understanding peat behavior will provide sufficient knowledge for optimum and economical design on peat ground.

The development of geophysical method investigation globally in the past decades has shown potential application in soft soil application. The ability of these methods to investigate the soil in its natural state mitigates the problems related to sample disturbance and difficulties of access for sampling. As mentioned by L'Heureux *et al.* (2013); Seed and Idriss (1972); Sun, Golesorkhi and Seed (1988), sample disturbance often compromised the results obtained and the risk of potential overestimation or underestimation of the actual ground condition, leading to inaccurate understanding of the real soil characteristics especially heterogeneous soil such as peat. Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) is one of the popular methods for measuring the dynamic properties of soil in very low strain. The Kansas Geological Survey first introduced the MASW method in the late 1990s as the improved method for the Spectral Analysis of

REFERENCES

- Abbiss, C. P. (1981). Shear wave measurements of the elasticity of the ground. *Géotechnique*, 31(1), 91-104. doi:10.1680/geot.1981.31.1.91.
- Abdullah, N., Ng, K., Jais, I., & Idrus, J. (2022). Use of geosynthetic reinforced soil-integrated bridge system to alleviate settlement problems at bridge approach: A review. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 103304.
- Abdurahman, M. N., Zainorabidin, A., Kassim, A., Nazir, R., & AB Rahman, E. (2021). Settlement behavior of treated hemic peat at Parit Nipah, Johor. *Journal of Mines, Metals & Fuels*, 59-67.
- Adewoyin, O., Joshua, E., & Akinyemi, M. (2016). Application of Shallow Seismic Refraction Method and Geotechnical Parameters in Site Characterization of a Reclaimed Land. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(45), 1-7.
- Aki, K., & Richards, P. (2002). University Science Books. *Sausalito, California*.
- Akroyd, T. N. W. (1957). *Laboratory testing in soil engineering*: Soil Mechanics Limited.
- Al-Raziqi, A., Huat, B., & Munzir, H. (2003a). *Index properties of some tropical peat and organic soils*. Paper presented at the Proc 2nd int conference on advances in soft soil engineering and technology, Putrajaya. University Putra Malaysia Press, Malaysia.
- Al-Raziqi, A., Huat, B. K., & Munzir, H. A. (2003b). Shear Strength Parameter of Some Organic Soil. *2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology, Putrajaya, Malaysia*, 237-241.
- Ali, A., Ullah, M., Barkat, A., Raza, W. A., Qadir, A., & Qamar, Z. u. (2021). Multi-channel analysis of surface waves (MASW) using dispersion and iterative inversion techniques: Implications for cavity detection and geotechnical site

- investigation. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 80, 9217-9235.
- Aminur, M., Kolay, P., & Taib, S. (2009). *Effect of admixtures on the stabilization of peat soil from Sarawak*. Paper presented at the Indian geotechnical conference.
- Aminur, M. R., Kolay, P. K., Taib, S. N. L., Mohd Zain, M. I. S., & Kamal, A. A. (2011). Physical, geotechnical and morphological characteristics of peat soils from Sarawak. *Journal-The Institution of Engineers, Malaysia*, 72(4).
- Anderson, N., Thitimakorn, T., Ismail, A., & Hoffman, D. (2007). A comparison of four geophysical methods for determining the shear wave velocity of soils. *Environmental and Engineering Geoscience*, 13(1), 11-23.
- Andriesse, J. (1988). *Nature and management of tropical peat soils*: Food & Agriculture Org.
- Apostolopoulos, G. (2008). Combined Schlumberger and dipole-dipole array for hydrogeologic applications. *Geophysics*, 73(5), F189-F195.
- Asadi, A., & Huat, B. B. (2009). Electrical resistivity of tropical peat. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 14, 1-9.
- Asten, M. (1976). The use of microseisms in geophysical exploration. *Ph. D. thesis, Macquarie University*.
- ASTM. (2000). Standard test method for estimating the degree of humification of peat and other organic soils (visual/manual method). In *D5715*. West Conshohocken: ASTM International.
- Astuto, G., Molina-Gomez, F., Bilotta, E., Viana da Fonseca, A., & Flora, A. (2023). Some remarks on the assessment of P-wave velocity in laboratory tests for evaluating the degree of saturation. *Acta Geotechnica*, 18(2), 777-790.
- Azhar, A., Norhaliza, W., Ismail, B., Abdullah, M., & Zakaria, M. (2016). *Comparison of shear strength properties for undisturbed and reconstituted Parit Nipah Peat, Johor*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- Baglari, D., Dey, A., & Taipodia, J. (2022). Subsurface Profiling Using Roadside MASW Survey: Influence of Multiple Sources and Offline Distance. *Journal of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics*, 27(2), 73-88.

- Basri, K., Talib, M., Jumien, N., Ping, B., Zainorabidin, A., Madun, A., . . . Yusof, M. (2020a). *Influence of Source Energy and Stacking on Active MASW Method Dispersion Image*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- Basri, K., Talib, M., Ping, B., Jumien, N., Zainorabidin, A., Lim, A., . . . Talib, Z. (2020b). *Comparison of Dispersion Image Resolution Acquired Using Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves with Different Source Energy and Stacking*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- Basri, K., Wahab, N., Talib, M. K. A., & Zainorabidin, A. (2019). Sub-surface Profiling Using Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) with Complement from Peat Sampler. *Civil Engineering and Architecture*, 7(6A), 7-18. doi: <https://doi.org/10.13189/cea.2019.071402>
- Basri, K., Zainorabidin, A., Masirin, M. I. M., Said, M. J. M., & Abdurahman, M. N. (2018). Estimation of Shear Wave Velocity Using 1-D Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) and Shear Modulus of Peat. *Malaysian Construction Research Journal*, 24(1), 1-10.
- Basri, K., Zainorabidin, A., Talib, M. K. A., & Wahab, H. (2020c). The Optimum Field Configuration for Active MASW Survey on Peat Soil. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 12(9), 121-130. doi:doi:10.30880/ijie.2020.12.09.015.
- Bery, A. A., & Saad, R. (2012). Correlation of seismic P-wave velocities with engineering parameters (N value and rock quality) for tropical environmental study. *International Journal of Geosciences*, 3(04), 749.
- Bessason, B., & Erlingsson, S. (2011). Shear wave velocity in surface sediments. *Jökull*, 61, 51-64.
- Boaga, J., Vignoli, G., & Cassiani, G. (2011). Shear wave profiles from surface wave inversion: the impact of uncertainty on seismic site response analysis. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 8(2), 162-174.
- Boulanger, R. W., Arulnathan, R., Harder Jr, L. F., Torres, R. A., & Driller, M. W. (1998). Dynamic properties of Sherman Island peat. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 124(1), 12-20.

- Brandl, H. (2018). Creeping (secondary/tertiary settlements) of highly compressible soils and sludge. *Gradjevinski materijali i konstrukcije*, 61(1), 27-36. doi:10.5937/grmk1801027b.
- Bullen, K. E. (1963). *An introduction to the theory of seismology*: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Burger, H. R., Sheehan, A. F., & Jones, C. H. (2006). *Introduction to applied geophysics: Exploring the shallow subsurface*: WW Norton.
- Clayton, C., & Heymann, G. (2001). Stiffness of geomaterials at very small strains. *Géotechnique*, 51(3), 245-255.
- Code, U. B. (1997). International building code. In *International Code Council, USA*.
- Coe, J. T., & Asabere, P. (2015). *Comparison of dispersion curves acquired using multichannel analysis of surface waves with various striker plate configurations*. Paper presented at the SAGEEP 2015-28th Annual Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems.
- Corradini, E., Dreibrodt, S., Erkul, E., Groß, D., Lübke, H., Panning, D., Pickartz, N., Thorwart, M., Vott, A. & Willershäuser, T. (2020). Understanding Wetlands Stratigraphy: Geophysics and Soil Parameters for Investigating Ancient Basin Development at Lake Duvensee. *Geosciences*, 10(8), 314.
- D2974, A. (2007). Standard test methods for moisture, ash, and organic matter of peat and other organic soils. *D2974-07*.
- D4427, A. (1992). Standard Classification of Peat Samples by Laboratory Testing (D4427-92). *ASTM, Section, 4*.
- D5777-00, A. (2000). Standard Guide for Using the Seismic Refraction Method for Subsurface Investigation. In. West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International.
- Das, B. M., & Luo, Z. (2016). *Principles of soil dynamics*: Cengage Learning.
- Debnath, R., Saha, R., & Haldar, S. (2021). Static and dynamic characterisation of Agartala peat. *Mires & Peat*(27).
- Deboucha, S., & Hashim, R. (2009). Durability and swelling of tropical stabilized peat soils. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 9(13), 2480-2484.
- Dehghanbanadaki, A., Arefnia, A., Keshtkarbanaeemoghadam, A., Ahmad, K., Motamedi, S., & Hashim, R. (2016). Evaluating the compression index of fibrous

- peat treated with different binders. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 76(2), 575-586. doi:10.1007/s10064-016-0890-6.
- Dhandapani, S., Girkin, N. T., & Evers, S. (2022). Spatial variability of surface peat properties and carbon emissions in a tropical peatland oil palm monoculture during a dry season. *Soil Use and Management*, 38(1), 381-395.
- Diaz-Segura, E. (2015). Effect of MASW field configuration on estimation of shear wave propagation velocity in sloped terrain. *Géotechnique Letters*, 5(1), 21-27.
- Dikmen, Ü., Arısoy, M. Ö., & Akkaya, I. (2010). Offset and linear spread geometry in the MASW method. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 7(2), 211-222.
- Disney, T. A., Khan, S. A., & Khan, M. (2020). Correlation Between Shear Wave Velocity (Vs) And Standard Penetration Number (N) For Dhaka City And Comparison With Worldwide Empirical Equations.
- Donohue, S., Long, M., O'Connor, P., & Gavin, K. (2004). *Use of multichannel analysis of surface waves in determining Gmax for soft clay*. Paper presented at the Proceedings 2nd. Int. Conf on Geotechnical Site Characterisation, ISC.
- Duraisamy, Y., Huat, B. B., & Aziz, A. A. (2007). Engineering properties and compressibility behavior of tropical peat soil. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4(10), 768-773.
- Edil, T. (2003). *Recent advances in geotechnical characterization and construction over peats and organic soils*. Paper presented at the Proceedings 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology.(Eds). Huat et al. Malaysia: Putrajaya.
- El-Galladi, A., El-Qady, G., Metwaly, M., & Awad, S. (2007). Mapping Peat Layer Using Surface Geoelectrical Methods at Mansoura Environs, Nile Delta, Egypt. *Mansoura Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 34(1), 59-78.
- Equipment, E. A. (2014). Peat Sampler Operating Instruction. *Netherlands: Eijkelkamp Agrisearch Equipment*.
- Foti, S. (2003). Small-strain stiffness and damping ratio of Pisa clay from surface wave tests. *Géotechnique*, 53(5), 455-461.

- Foti, S., Comina, C., Boiero, D., & Socco, L. (2009). Non-uniqueness in surface-wave inversion and consequences on seismic site response analyses. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 29(6), 982-993.
- Geometrics. (2009). SeisImager/2D Manual windows software for analysis of refraction analysis. In. San Jose, CA: Geometrics.
- Gofar, N., & Sutejo, Y. (2007). Long term compression behavior of fibrous peat. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 19(2).
- Hashim, R., & Islam, S. (2008). Engineering Properties of Peat Soils in Peninsular, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 8(22), 4215-4219. doi:10.3923/jas.2008.4215.4219.
- Hayashi, H., Hatakeyama, O., Hashimoto, H., & Yamaki, M. (2020). Shear Modulus and Damping of Peat Subjected to Overconsolidation Stress History. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 1-10. doi:doi:10.1007/s10706-020-01445-9.
- Hayashi, H., & Nishimoto, S. (2016). Shear modulus at small strain of normally consolidated peat. *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 2(15), 576-580.
- Hayashi, H., Yamanashi, T., Hashimoto, H., & Yamaki, M. (2018). Shear Modulus and Damping Ratio for Normally Consolidated Peat and Organic Clay in Hokkaido Area. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 36(5), 3159-3171. doi:10.1007/s10706-018-0527-6.
- Hobbs, N. (1986). Mire morphology and the properties and behaviour of some British and foreign peats. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 19(1), 7-80.
- Howson, T. R., Chapman, P. J., Holden, J., Shah, N., & Anderson, R. (2023). A comparison of peat properties in intact, afforested and restored raised and blanket bogs. *Soil Use and Management*, 39(1), 104-121.
- Huang, A., & Mayne, P. (2008). Geotechnical & Geophysical Site Characterization 2008. *Proc. ISC-3, Taipei*, Taylor & Francis Group, London.
- Huat, B. B. (2006). Deformation and shear strength characteristics of some tropical peat and organic soils. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol*, 14(1-2), 61-74.

- Huat, B. B., Asadi, A., Prasad, A., & Kazemian, S. (2014). *Geotechnics of organic soils and peat*: CRC Press.
- Huat, B. B., Kazemian, S., Prasad, A., & Barghchi, M. (2011). State of an art review of peat: General perspective. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 6(8), 1988-1996.
- Hunter, J., & Crow, H. (2015). *Shear wave velocity measurement guidelines for Canadian seismic site characterization in soil and rock*: Natural Resources Canada.
- International, A. (2008). ASTM D4531-86: Standard test methods for bulk density of peat and peat products.
- Ismail, M. D. (2011). *Secondary and Tertiary Behaviour of Peat Soil*. (Undergraduate Project Report). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Ivanov, J., Miller, R. D., & Tsoflias, G. (2008). *Some practical aspects of MASW analysis and processing*. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2008.
- Jakalia, I., Aning, A., Preko, K., Sackey, N., & Danuor, S. (2015). Implications Of Soil Resistivity Measurements Using The Electrical Resistivity Method: A Case Study Of A Maize Farm Under Different Soil Preparation Modes At KNUST Agricultural Research Station, Kumasi.
- Jakka, R. S., Desai, A., & Foti, S. (2022). Guidelines for Minimization of Uncertainties and Estimation of a Reliable Shear Wave Velocity Profile Using MASW Testing: A State-of-the-Art Review. *Advances in Earthquake Geotechnics*, 211-253.
- Jakka, R. S., & Roy, N. (2018). Uncertainties in Site Characterization Using Surface Wave Techniques and Their Effects on Seismic Ground Response. In *Geotechnics for Natural and Engineered Sustainable Technologies* (pp. 371-383): Springer.
- Jakka, R. S., Roy, N., & Wason, H. (2014). Implications of surface wave data measurement uncertainty on seismic ground response analysis. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 61, 239-245.
- Johari, N. N., Bakar, I., Razali, S. N. M., & Wahab, N. (2016). Fiber Effects on Compressibility of Peat. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 136, 012036. doi:10.1088/1757-899x/136/1/012036.

- Jon, D., Usha, M., Sarala, A., Nyon, Y., & Gabriel, C. (2010). A quick scan of Peatlands in MALAYSIA. *Wetlands International Malaysia, 1*.
- Jumien, N., Talib, M., Wahab, N., Basri, K., Zainorabidin, A., Madun, A., & Firdaus, M. (2021). Compressibility of peat soil—a review. *J. Mines, Metals Fuels, Rev.*, 69(8), 73-81.
- Kalantari, B., & Prasad, A. (2014). A study of the effect of various curing techniques on the strength of stabilized peat. *Transportation Geotechnics, 1*(3), 119-128. doi:10.1016/j.trgeo.2014.06.002.
- Kallioglou, P., Tika, T., Koninis, G., & Pitilakis, K. (2009). Shear modulus and damping ratio of organic soils. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 27*(2), 217.
- Karim, N. S. A. (2017). *The Study of Shear Strength of Amorphous Peat Using Isotropic Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test*. (Undergraduate Project Report). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Kazemian, S. (2017). Organic Soils and Peats. In *Encyclopedia of Engineering Geology* (pp. 1-5): Springer.
- Kazemian, S., Asadi, A., & Huat, B. (2009). Laboratory study on geotechnical properties of tropical peat soils. *International Journal of Geotechnics and Environment, 1*, 69-79.
- Ke, G., Dong, H., Kristensen, Å., & Thompson, M. (2011). Modified Thomson–Haskell matrix methods for surface-wave dispersion-curve calculation and their accelerated root-searching schemes. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 101*(4), 1692-1703.
- Keceli, A. (2012). Soil parameters which can be determined with seismic velocities. *Jeofizik, 16*(1), 17-29.
- Kheong, C. S. (2011). *Analysis of Peat Fabric Responses to Various Stresses and Intensities*. (Undergraduate Project Report). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Kim, M.-I., Kim, J.-S., Kim, N.-W., & Jeong, G.-C. (2011). Surface geophysical investigations of landslide at the Wiri area in southeastern Korea. *Environmental Earth Sciences, 63*(5), 999-1009.

- Kishida, T., Boulanger, R. W., Abrahamson, N. A., Wehling, T. M., & Driller, M. W. (2009). Regression Models for Dynamic Properties of Highly Organic Soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 135(4), 533-543. doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-0241(2009)135:4(533).
- Kishida, T., Boulanger, R. W., Wehling, T. M., & Driller, M. W. (2006). Variation of small strain stiffness for peat and organic soil. *In Proc., 8th US National Conf. on Earthquake Engineering*.
- Kishida, T., Wehling, T. M., Boulanger, R. W., Driller, M. W., & Stokoe, K. H. (2009). Dynamic Properties of Highly Organic Soils from Montezuma Slough and Clifton Court. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 135(4), 525-532. doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-0241(2009)135:4(525).
- Kolay, P., Sii, H., & Taib, S. (2011). Tropical peat soil stabilization using class F pond ash from coal fired power plant. *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 3(2), 79-83.
- Kolay, P. K., & Shafiee, S. B. (2007). *Hydraulic conductivity of tropical peat soil from Sarawak*. Paper presented at the EACEF–1st International Conference of European Asian Civil Engineering Forum.
- Koster, K., De Lange, G., Harting, R., de Heer, E., & Middelkoop, H. (2018). Characterizing void ratio and compressibility of Holocene peat with CPT for assessing coastal–deltaic subsidence. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 51(2), 210-218.
- Kramer, S. L. (2000). Dynamic response of Mercer Slough peat. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 126(6), 504-510.
- Kumar, S. S., Krishna, A. M., & Dey, A. (2013). *Parameters influencing dynamic soil properties: a review treatise*. Paper presented at the National conference on recent advances in civil engineering.
- Kunarso, A., Bonner, M. T., Blanch, E. W., & Grover, S. (2022). Differences in Tropical Peat Soil Physical and Chemical Properties Under Different Land Uses: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 22(4), 4063-4083.

- Kurihara, N., Isoda, T., Ohta, H., & Sekiguchi, H. (1994). *Settlement performance of the central Hokkaido expressway built on peat*. Paper presented at the Advances in Understanding and Modelling The Mechanical Behaviour of Peat: Proceedings of The International Workshop, 16-18 June 1993, Delft, Netherlands.
- L'Heureux, J.-S., & Long, M. (2016). *Correlations between shear wave velocity and geotechnical parameters in Norwegian clays*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 17th Nordic Geotechnical Meeting.
- L'Heureux, J.-S., & Long, M. (2017). Relationship between Shear-Wave Velocity and Geotechnical Parameters for Norwegian Clays. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 143(6), 04017013. doi:10.1061/(asce)gt.1943-5606.0001645
- L'Heureux, J.-S., Long, M., Vanneste, M., Sauvin, G., Hansen, L., Polom, U., Lecomte, I., Dehls, J. & Janbu, N. (2013). On the prediction of settlement from high-resolution shear-wave reflection seismic data: The Trondheim harbour case study, mid Norway. *Engineering Geology*, 167, 72-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.10.006.
- Ling, F. N., Kassim, K. A., Karim, A., Tarmizi, A., Tiong, K., & Tan, C. (2013). *Geochemistry properties of southern Malaysian organic soil*. Paper presented at the Applied Mechanics and Materials.
- Long, M., & Donohue, S. (2007). In situ shear wave velocity from multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW) tests at eight Norwegian research sites. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 44(5), 533-544. doi:10.1139/t07-013
- Long, M., Donohue, S., L'Heureux, J.-S., Solberg, I.-L., Rønning, J. S., Limacher, R., . . . Lecomte, I. (2012). Relationship between electrical resistivity and basic geotechnical parameters for marine clays. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 49(10), 1158-1168.
- Long, M., Paniagua, P., Grimstad, G., Trafford, A., Degago, S., & L'Heureux, J.-S. (2022). Engineering properties of Norwegian peat for calculation of settlements. *Engineering Geology*, 308, 106799.
- Lowrie, W. (2007). *Fundamentals of geophysics*: Cambridge university press.

- Lubliner-Mianowska, K. (1951). *WskazĂłwki do badania torfu: metody geobotaniczne, polowe i laboratoryjne [Guideline for peat studies]*: PaŁ „stwowe Wydawnictwa Techniczne.
- Luna, R., & Jadi, H. (2000). Determination of dynamic soil properties using geophysical methods. *In Proceedings of the first international conference on the application of geophysical and NDT methodologies to transportation facilities and infrastructure, St. Louis, MO*, 1-15.
- Luo, Y., Xia, J., Liu, J., Xu, Y., & Liu, Q. (2009). Research on the middle-of-receiver-spread assumption of the MASW method. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 29(1), 71-79. doi:doi:10.1016/j.soildyn.2008.01.009.
- MacCulloch, F. (2006). Guidelines for the risk management of peat slips on the construction of low volume/low cost roads over peat. *The ROADEX II Project*.
- Maciak, F., & Liwski, S. (1979). Ćwiczenia z torfoznawstwa [Peat-science practice]. *Skrypty SGGW, Akademii Rol- niczej w Warszawie, Warszawa*, 159 pp.
- Madun, A., Ahmad Supa'at, M., Ahmad Tajudin, S., Zainalabidin, M., Sani, S., & Yusof, M. (2016). Soil investigation using multichannel analysis of surface wave (MASW) and borehole. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(6), 3759-3763.
- Mahvelati, S., Coe, J. T., & Asabere, P. (2020). Field investigation on the effects of base plate material on experimental surface wave data with MASW. *Journal of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics*, 25(2), 255-274.
- Mansor, S. H. (2015). *Study on the Shear Stress-Strain Behaviour of Peat Using Direct Simple Shear Test and Direct Shear Box Test*. (Master Thesis). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Mansor, S. H. B., & Zainorabidin, A. B. (2015). Stress-Strain Behavior of Parit Nipah Peat. In *InCIEC 2014* (pp. 515-523): Springer.
- Matthews, M., Clayton, C., & Own, Y. (2000). The use of field geophysical techniques to determine geotechnical stiffness parameters. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Geotechnical Engineering*, 143(1), 31-42. doi:doi:10.1680/geng.2000.143.1.31.

- Matthews, M., Hope, V., & Clayton, C. (1997). The geotechnical value of ground stiffness determined using seismic methods. *Geological Society, London, Engineering Geology Special Publications*, 12(1), 113-123. doi:doi:10.1144/gsl.eng.1997.012.01.10.
- McManus, K., Hassan, R., & Sukkar, F. (1997). *Founding embankments on peat and organic soils*. Paper presented at the Conference on Recent Advances in Soft Soil Engineering, 5-7 March, 1997, Kuchung, Sarawak.
- Menzies, B., Saxena, K., & Sharma, V. (2000). Near-surface site characterisation by ground stiffness profiling using surface wave geophysics. *HC Verma Commemorative Volume. Indian Geotechnical Society, New Delhi*.
- Mesri, G., & Ajlouni, M. (2007). Engineering Properties of Fibrous Peats. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 133(7), 850-866. doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-0241(2007)133:7(850)
- Moffat, R., Correia, N., & Pastén, C. (2018). Comparison of mean shear wave velocity of the top 30 m using downhole, MASW and bender elements methods. *Obras y Proyectos*(20), 6-15.
- Mohamad, H. M. (2019). *Influence of Post-cyclic Loading on Hemic peat*. (Ph.D Thesis). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Mohamad, H. M., Kasbi, B., Baba, M., Adnan, Z., Hardianshah, S., & Ismail, S. (2021). Investigating Peat Soil Stratigraphy and Marine Clay Formation Using the Geophysical Method in Padas Valley, Northern Borneo. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*, 2021, 1-12. doi:doi:10.1155/2021/6681704
- Mohamad, H. M., Zainorabidin, A., & Hassan, N. A. (2022). Influence of Cyclic Loading to The Post-Cyclic Shear Strength Behaviour of Peat Soil. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 17(4), 2997-3011.
- Mohamad, H. M., Zainorabidin, A., & Mohamad, M. I. (2022). Maximum Strain Effect and Secant Modulus Variation of Hemic Peat Soil at large Deformation due to Cyclic Loading. *Civil Engineering Journal*, 8(10), 2243-2260.
- Mohamed, A. M., Abu El Ata, A., Abdel Azim, F., & Taha, M. (2013). Site-specific shear wave velocity investigation for geotechnical engineering applications using

- seismic refraction and 2D multi-channel analysis of surface waves. *NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics*, 2(1), 88-101.
- Moreno, C. A., & Rodriguez, E. E. (2004). *Dynamic behavior of Bogota's subsoil peat and it's effect in seismic wave propagation*. Paper presented at the Proc 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering. Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Morris, M., Rønning, J. S., & Lile, O. B. (1997). Detecting lateral resistivity inhomogeneities with the Schlumberger array. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 45(3), 435-448.
- Muhammed, H. H., Nasidi, N. M., & Wayayok, A. (2022). Impact of Climate Changes and Landuse/Land Cover Changes on Water Resources in Malaysia. In: *Al-Quraishi, A.M.F., Mustafa, Y.T., Negm, A.M. (eds) Environmental Degradation in Asia. Earth and Environmental Sciences Library. Springer, Cham.*, 465.
- Neducz, B. (2007). Stacking of surface waves. *Geophysics*, 72(2), V51-V58.
- O'Kelly, B. C. (2006). Compression and consolidation anisotropy of some soft soils. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, 24(6), 1715.
- O'Kelly, B. C. (2007). *Compressibility and permeability anisotropy of some peaty soils*. Paper presented at the Proceedings 60th Canadian Geotechnical Conference.
- O'Kelly, B. C. (2015). Atterberg limits are not appropriate for peat soils. *Geotechnical Research*, 2(3), 123-134.
- O'Kelly, B. C., & Pichan, S. P. (2013). Effects of decomposition on the compressibility of fibrous peat-A review. *Geomechanics and Geoengineering*, 8(4), 286-296.
- O'Loughlin, C., & Lehane, B. (2003). *A study of the link between composition and compressibility of peat and organic soils*. Paper presented at the A study of the link between composition and compressibility of peat and organic soils.
- O'Kelly, B. C. (2022). Discussion of “Physio-Chemical Properties, Consolidation, and Stabilization of Tropical Peat Soil Using Traditional Soil Additives—a State of the Art Literature Review” by Afnan Ahmada, Muslich Hartadi Sutantoa, Mohammed Ali Mohammed Al-Bareda, Indra Sati Hamonangan Harahapa, Seyed Vahid Alavi Nezhad Khalil Abada, Mudassir Ali Khana. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 26(8), 3455-3459.

- Odell, R. T., Thornburn, T. H., & McKenzie, L. (1960). Relationships of Atterberg limits to some other properties of Illinois soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 24(4), 297-300.
- Oh, Y.-c., Jeong, H.-s., Lee, Y.-k., & Shon, H. (2003). *Safety evaluation of rock-fill dam by seismic (MASW) and resistivity methods*. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2003.
- Olafsdottir, E., Erlingsson, S., & Bessason, B. (2016a). *Effects of measurement profile configuration on estimation of stiffness profiles of loose post glacial sites using MASW*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 17th Nordic geotechnical meeting, Reykjavik, Iceland.
- Olafsdottir, E. A., Bessason, B., & Erlingsson, S. (2018). Combination of dispersion curves from MASW measurements. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 113, 473-487.
- Olafsdottir, E. A., Erlingsson, S., & Bessason, B. (2016b). *Effects of measurement profile configuration on estimation of stiffness profiles of loose post glacial sites using MASW*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 17th Nordic geotechnical meeting, Reykjavik, Iceland.
- Omar, A. (2013). *Kajian Kesan Penggunaan Bentuk Dan Sudut Kon Yang Berbeza Terhadap Ujian Penusukan Kon*. (Undergraduate Project Report). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Ong, D. E. (2016). Effects of Compressibility and Permeability of Peaty Soils on The Design and Construction of Infrastructure. *15TH INTERNATIONAL PEAT CONGRESS 2016*, 602-606.
- Paniagua, P., Long, M., & L'Heureux, J. (2021). *Geotechnical characterization of Norwegian peat: database*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- Park, C.-S., Jeong, J.-H., Park, H.-W., & Kim, K. (2017). Experimental study on electrode method for electrical resistivity survey to detect cavities under road pavements. *Sustainability*, 9(12), 2320.
- Park, C. B., & Carnevale, M. (2010). Optimum MASW survey-revisit after a decade of use. *Proceedings of GeoFlorida*, 1303-1312.

- Park, C. B., Miller, R. D., & Xia, J. (1998). Imaging dispersion curves of surface waves on multi-channel record. In *SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 1998* (pp. 1377-1380): Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Park, C. B., Miller, R. D., & Xia, J. (1999). Multichannel analysis of surface waves. *Geophysics*, 64(3), 800-808. doi:10.1190/1.1444590.
- Park, C. B., Miller, R. D., & Xia, J. (2001). *Offset and resolution of dispersion curve in multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW)*. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2001.
- Park, C. B., Miller, R. D., Xia, J., & Ivanov, J. (2007). Multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW)—active and passive methods. *The Leading Edge*, 26(1), 60-64.
- Park, C. B., & Shawver, J. (2009a). *MASW survey using multiple source offsets*. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2009.
- Park, C. B., & Shawver, J. B. (2009b). *MASW survey using multiple source offsets*. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2009.
- Pei, D., Louie, J. N., & Pullammanappallil, S. K. (2007). Inversion of phase velocities of high-frequency fundamental-mode Rayleigh waves using simulated annealing approach. *Geophysics*, 72(5), R77-R85.
- Ponziani, M., Slob, E., Ngan-Tillard, D., & Vanhala, H. (2011). Influence of water content on the electrical conductivity of peat. *Int Water Technol J (IWTJ)*, 1(1), 14-21.
- Rafiu, B. A., & Ganiyu, O. B. (2014). Estimation of Shear Wave Velocity for Near-surface Characterisation; Case Study: Ifako/Gbagada Area of Lagos State, SW Nigeria. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 4(5), 831.
- Rahgozar, M. A., & Saberian, M. (2015). Physical and chemical properties of two Iranian peat types. *Mires and Peat*, 16(07), 1-17.
- Rahman, J. A., & Chan, C.-M. (2014). Effect of additive to the moisture content at different decomposition level of peat. *Journal of Civil Engineering Research* 2014, 4, 59-62.

- Ramdhani, M. R., Ruhimat, A., Wiyono, W., & Barnes, A. (2020). Imaging Tropical Peatland and Aquifer Potential In South Sumatera Using Electrical Resistivity Tomography. *Indonesian Journal of Forestry Research*, 7(1), 1-14.
- Razali, S. N. M., Bakar, I., & Zainorabidin, A. (2013). Behaviour of Peat Soil in Instrumented Physical Model Studies. *Procedia Engineering*, 53, 145-155. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2013.02.020.
- Riahi, M., Tabatabaei, S. H., Beytollahi, A., Ghalandarzadeh, A., Talebian, M., & Fattahi, M. (2012). Seismic refraction and downhole survey for characterization of shallow depth materials of Bam city, southeast of Iran. *Journal of the Earth & Space Physics*, 37(4), 41-58.
- Roy, N., & Jakka, R. S. (2017). Near-field effects on site characterization using MASW technique. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 97, 289-303.
- Roy, N., SankarJakka, R., & Wason, H. (2013). Effect of surface wave inversion non-uniqueness on 1D seismic ground response analysis. *Natural hazards*, 68(2), 1141-1153.
- Sa'don, N., Karim, A. A., Jaol, W., & Lili, W. W. (2014). Sarawak peat characteristics and heat treatment. *Journal of Civil Engineering, Science and Technology*, 5(3), 6-12.
- Saedon, N. (2012). *Atterberg Limit on Peat Soils and its Application*. (Undergraduate Research Project). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Said, M. J. M., Zainorabidin, A., & Madun, A. (2015). Data Acquisition Challenges on Peat Soil Using Seismic Refraction. In *InCIEC 2014* (pp. 477-486): Springer.
- Sapar, N. I. F., Matlan, S. J., Mohamad, H. M., Alias, R., & Ibrahim, A. (2020). A study on physical and morphological characteristics of tropical peat in sabah. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Eng. Technol*, 11(11), 542-553.
- Sarkar, G., & Sadrekarimi, A. (2020). Compressibility and monotonic shearing behaviour of Toronto peat. *Engineering Geology*, 105822.
- Sauvin, G., Vanneste, M., L'Heureux, J.-S., O'Connor, P., O'Rourke, S., O'Connell, Y., & Long, M. (2016). *Impact of data acquisition parameters and processing techniques on S-wave velocity profiles from MASW—Examples from Trondheim*,

- Norway. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 17th Nordic Geotechnical Meeting.
- Schwenk, J. T., Miller, R. D., Ivanov, J., Sloan, S. D., & McKenna, J. R. (2012). *Joint shear-wave analysis using MASW and refraction traveltime tomography*. Paper presented at the Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2012.
- Seed, H. B., & Idriss, I. M. (1972). Soil moduli and damping factors for dynamic response analysis. *Journal of Terramechanics*, 8(3), 109. doi:10.1016/0022-4898(72)90110-3
- Silvestri, S., Knight, R., Viezzoli, A., Richardson, C., Anshari, G. Z., Dewar, N., . . . Comas, X. (2019). Quantification of peat thickness and stored carbon at the landscape scale in tropical peatlands: A comparison of airborne geophysics and an empirical topographic method. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*.
- Sjoberg, Y., Marklund, P., Pettersson, R., & Lyon, S. W. (2015). Geophysical mapping of palsa peatland permafrost. *The Cryosphere*, 9(2), 465-478.
- Slater, L. D., & Reeve, A. (2002). Investigating peatland stratigraphy and hydrogeology using integrated electrical geophysics. *Geophysics*, 67(2), 365-378.
- Socco, L., & Strobbia, C. (2004). Surface-wave method for near-surface characterization: a tutorial. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 2(4), 165-185.
- Sun, J. I., Golesorkhi, R., & Seed, H. B. (1988). *Dynamic moduli and damping ratios for cohesive soils*. Berkeley: Earthquake Engineering Research Center: University of California.
- Taha, A.-S., Kennedy, B., Azmeh, J., & Park, S.-H. (2022). Seismic Response of ISFSI Site with Thick Soft Soil Layer below the Water Table.
- Taipodia, J., Baglari, D., & Dey, A. (2018a). Recommendations for generating dispersion images of optimal resolution from active MASW survey. *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*, 3(1), 14.
- Taipodia, J., Baglari, D., & Dey, A. (2019). Effect of source characteristics on the resolution of dispersion image from active MASW survey. *Indian Geotechnical Journal*, 49(3), 314-327.

- Taipodia, J., Boga, M., & Dey, A. (2018b). Influence of stacking on the resolution of the dispersion image in Active MASW survey.
- Taipodia, J., & Dey, A. (2012). *A Review of Active and Passive MASW Techniques*. Paper presented at the National Workshop Engineering Geophysics for Civil Engineering and Geo-Hazards.
- Taipodia, J., & Dey, A. (2018). *Impact of Strike Energy on the Resolution of Dispersion Image in Active MASW Survey*. Paper presented at the GeoShanghai International Conference.
- Taipodia, J., Dey, A., & Baglari, D. (2018c). Influence of data acquisition and signal preprocessing parameters on the resolution of dispersion image from active MASW survey. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 15(4), 1310-1326.
- Taipodia, J., Dey, A., & Baglari, D. (2018d). Influence of data acquisition and signal preprocessing parameters on the resolution of dispersion image from active MASW survey. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 15(4), 1310.
- Talib, M. K. A. (2016). *Effectiveness of Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA) Utilization in Peat Stabilization*. (PhD Thesis). Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan.
- Tang, B.-L., Bakar, I., & Chan, C.-M. (2011). Reutilization of organic and peat soils by deep cement mixing. *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 5(2), 87-92.
- Taufik, M., Marlina, T., Mukharomah, A. K., & Minasny, B. (2022). Groundwater table and soil-hydrological properties datasets of Indonesian peatlands. *Data in Brief*, 41, 107903.
- Teickner, H., Gao, C., & Knorr, K. H. (2022). Electrochemical properties of peat particulate organic matter on a global scale: Relation to peat chemistry and degree of decomposition. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 36(2), e2021GB007160.
- Teong, I., Felix, N., & Sabaruddin, M. (2015). Characteristics and correlation of the index properties peat soil: Parit Nipah. *Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture*, 10(5), 19-23.
- Teunissen, H., & Zwanenburg, C. (2017). Modelling Strains of Soft Soils. *Procedia Engineering*, 175, 165-174. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2017.01.049.

- Tong, T. I., & Ling, F. N. L. (2015). Geochemical properties of peat soil in Sarawak-a review. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 773, 1417-1421.
- Troels-Smith, J. (1955). Karakterisering af løse jordarter [Characterization of loose soils]. *Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse IV. Række*, 3(10), 1-73.
- Troncoso, J., & Garcés, E. (2000). Ageing effects in the shear modulus of soils. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 19(8), 595-601.
- Turesson, A. (2007). A comparison of methods for the analysis of compressional, shear, and surface wave seismic data, and determination of the shear modulus. *Journal of applied Geophysics*, 61(2), 83-91. doi:doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2006.04.005
- Uko, E., Emudianughe, J., & Eze, C. (2016). Comparison of the Characteristics of Low Velocity Layer (LVL) in the Mangrove Swamp and in the Upper Flood Plain Environments in the Niger Delta, using Seismic Refraction Methods. *J Geol Geophys*, 5(248), 2.
- Ulusay, R., Tuncay, E., & Hasancebi, N. (2010). Geo-engineering properties and settlement of peaty soils at an industrial site (Turkey). *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 69(3), 397-410. doi:doi:10.1007/s10064-010-0290-2.
- Von Post, L. (1922). Sveriges geologiska undersöknings torvinventering och nagre av dess hittills vunna resultat. *Sr. Mosskulturfor, Tidskr I*, 1-27.
- Wahab, N., Basri, K., Talib, M. K. A., & Rohani, M. M. (2019). Segregation Peat Fiber and Pre-Consolidation Pressure Effect on the Physical Properties of Reconstituted Peat Soil. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)*, 8(6S3), 640-647.
- Wair, B. R., DeJong, J. T., & Shantz, T. (2012). *Guidelines for estimation of shear wave velocity profiles*: Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center.
- Waruwu, A., Hardiyatmo, C., & Rifa'i, A. (2017). Deflection behavior of the nailed slab system-supported embankment on peat soil. *Istrazivanja i projektovanja za privredu*, 15(4), 556-563. doi:10.5937/jaes15-15113.
- Wehling, T. M., Boulanger, R. W., Arulnathan, R., Harder Jr, L. F., & Driller, M. W. (2003). Nonlinear dynamic properties of a fibrous organic soil. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 129(10), 929-939.

- Wehling, T. M., Boulanger, R. W., Harder Jr, L. F., & Driller, M. W. (2000). *Dynamic properties of Sherman Island peat: Phase II study*. University of California, Davis,
- Wijeyesekera, D., Numbikannu, L., Ismail, T., & Bakar, I. (2016). *Mitigating Settlement of Structures founded on Peat*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- Wong, L., Hashim, R., & Ali, F. (2008). Strength and permeability of stabilized peat soil. *J. Appl. Sci*, 8(21), 3986-3990.
- Word, C. S., McLaughlin, D. L., Strahm, B. D., Stewart, R. D., Varner, J. M., Wurster, F. C., Amestoy, T. J. & Link, N. T. (2022). Peatland drainage alters soil structure and water retention properties: Implications for ecosystem function and management. *Hydrological Processes*, 36(3), e14533.
- Xia, J., Miller, R. D., & Park, C. B. (1999a). Estimation of near-surface shear-wave velocity by inversion of Rayleigh waves. *Geophysics*, 64(3), 691-700.
- Xia, J., Miller, R. D., Park, C. B., Hunter, J. A., & Harris, J. B. (1999b). Evaluation of the MASW technique in unconsolidated sediments. In *SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 1999* (pp. 437-440): Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Xia, J., Miller, R. D., Park, C. B., Hunter, J. A., Harris, J. B., & Ivanov, J. (2002). Comparing shear-wave velocity profiles inverted from multichannel surface wave with borehole measurements. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 22(3), 181-190.
- Xia, J., Miller, R. D., Park, C. B., & Tian, G. (2003). Inversion of high frequency surface waves with fundamental and higher modes. *Journal of applied Geophysics*, 52(1), 45-57.
- Xu, J., Morris, P. J., Liu, J., & Holden, J. (2018). PEATMAP: Refining estimates of global peatland distribution based on a meta-analysis. *Catena*, 160, 134-140.
- Xu, Y., Xia, J., & Miller, R. D. (2006). Quantitative estimation of minimum offset for multichannel surface-wave survey with actively exciting source. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 59(2), 117-125.
- Yang, J., & Liu, X. (2016). Shear wave velocity and stiffness of sand: the role of non-plastic fines. *Géotechnique*. doi:doi:10.1680/jgeot.16.d.006.

- Yonebayashi, K. (2003). *Morphological characteristics and chemical properties of tropical woody peat in Southeast Asia*. Paper presented at the Proc. 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology.
- Yordkayhun, S., Sujitapan, C., & Chalermyanont, T. (2014). Joint analysis of shear wave velocity from SH-wave refraction and MASW techniques for SPT-N estimation. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, 36, 333-344.
- Yusa, M., Sandyavitri, A., & Sutikno, S. (2019). *Application of electrical resistivity test to estimate carbon storage of tropical peat deposit (Case study of Bengkalis island)*. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences.
- Yusoff, A. A. M. (2011). *Determination on Shear Strength of Peat Soil at Pontian Johor*. (Undergraduate Project Report). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Zainorabidin, A. (2011). *Static and Dynamic Characteristics of Peat with Macro and Micro Structure Perspective*. (Ph.D Thesis). University of East London, London.
- Zainorabidin, A., & Bakar, I. (2003). *Engineering properties of in-situ and modified hemic peat soil in Western Johor*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology.
- Zainorabidin, A., & Mohamad, H. M. (2017). Engineering Properties of Integrated Tropical Peat Soil in Malaysia. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 22(02), 457-466.
- Zainorabidin, A., Saedon, N., Bakar, I., & Seth, N. F. M. (2015). *An Investigation of Soil Volume Changes at Four Dimensional Points of Peat Soil Sample in Parit Nipah and Pontian*. Paper presented at the Applied Mechanics and Materials.
- Zainorabidin, A., & Said, M. J. M. (2015). Determination of Shear Wave Velocity Using Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Wave Method and Shear Modulus Estimation of Peat Soil at Western Johore. *Procedia Engineering*, 125, 345-350. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2015.11.073
- Zainorabidin, A., & Wijeyesekera, D. C. (2007). Geotechnical challenges with Malaysian peat. *Advances in Computing and Technology*, 252-261.
- Zainorabidin, A., & Wijeyesekera, D. C. (2009). Shear Modulus and Damping Properties of Peat Soils. *Proceedings of the AC&T*, 61-67.

- Zainorizuan, M. J., Abu Talib, M. K., Noriyuki, Y., Yee Yong, L., Alvin John Meng Siang, L., Mohamad Hanifi, O., Rahmat, S. N. & Mohd Shalahuddin, A. (2017). Highly Organic Soil Stabilization by Using Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA). *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 103, 07013. doi:10.1051/mateconf/201710307013
- Zajícová, K., & Chuman, T. (2019). Application of ground penetrating radar methods in soil studies: A review. *Geoderma*, 343, 116-129.
- Zamalík, M. N., Nawi, M. N. M., & Musa, S. (2023). Soil Problems in Housing Development Projects: Analysis of Causes in Legal Perspective. *Russian Law Journal*, 11(2).
- Zolkefle, S. N. A. (2015). *The Dynamic Properties of Peat Soil in South West of Johor*. (Master Thesis). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Zolkefle, S. N. A. (2019). *The Characteristic of Cyclic Shear Modulus, Damping Ratio and Pore Pressure of Hemic Peat Due to Its Fibre Sizes*. (Ph.D Thesis). Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Johor.
- Zolkefle, S. N. A., Zainorabidin, A., & Mohamad, H. M. (2015). The Characteristics of Pontian Peat Under Dynamic Loading. 487-499. doi:10.1007/978-981-287-290-6_43.
- Zwanenburg, C., Konstadinou, M., Meijers, P., Goudarzy, M., König, D., Dyvik, R., Carlton, B., Elk, J. V., Doornhof, D. & Korff, M. (2020). Assessment of the Dynamic Properties of Holocene Peat. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 146(7), 04020049.

VITA

Kasbi bin Basri was born on June 16, 1991, in Sandakan, Sabah. Resourceful Graduate Research Assistant with more than 6 years of experience in institutional settings. Highly skilled in overseeing research activities and supervising undergraduate students. Talented in guiding all functions relating to research studies, analysis and reporting. The field of interest include geotechnical, geological and geophysical engineering focusing on soft ground such as peat soil and soft clay. Obtained a certified graduate engineer registered with Board of Engineer Malaysia (BEM) under the Civil Engineering discipline. Higher academic background begins in 2009, enrolled for Diploma degree in Civil Engineering at Polytechnic Kota Kinabalu. Upon completion on 2012, continued for Bachelor of Civil Engineering (B.Eng.) with Honors from 2012 to 2015 Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) Johor. In the long run, granted with Master's in Civil Engineering (M. Eng.) – Geotechnical field in 2017 from Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia with Graduate on Time (GoT). Due to high interest and involvement in research and development to aspire life-long learning, pursued Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Civil Engineering in Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia in February 2018. Up to the present time, many papers had been published in high-impact- factor journal with various indexes. Professional and technical involvement includes private consultation works related to technical specialty in current research activities and industrial attachments in private firm.