THE INVESTIGATION OF SHORELINE CHANGES BY USING UAV PHOTOGRAMMETRY AT PANTAI PUNGGUR

MOHAMMAD IKHMAL SIDDIQ BIN JEFRI DIN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the Degree of Master of Civil Engineering

> Faculty of Civil and Built Environment Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

> > JULY 2023

For both my late mother and father

PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah to Almighty Allah SWT for giving me the opportunity to complete my thesis. First and foremost, I would like to thank to my beloved supervisor Prof Madya Sr. Dr. Mohd Effendi Bin Daud, who always giving me help and guide and moral support throughout the whole process of the completion of this research. Without the presence of my supervisor, I faced a lot of difficulties and problems during the completion of this research. A million thanks I dedicated to him for always being the best supervisor.

Besides, I also would like to thank Prof Madya Ts. Masiri Bin Kaamin and Ts. Mardiha Binti Mokhtar, both my Co-Supervisor in assisting the research. I would like to acknowledge "Faculty of Civil and Built Environment" and "Geomatics and Geology Department" for giving me a wide range of information on how to handle several equipment and lab tests during my research. It was a good opportunity to work with all of them plus they were very helpful and willing to give help whenever I need those.





ABSTRACT

Pantai Punggur, located along the Malacca Strait, is a coastal area characterized by a muddy beach and an irregular shoreline that undergoes constant changes due to both natural processes and human activities. This research focuses on assessing the shoreline of Pantai Punggur over a one-year period and monitoring the erosion rate using GIS tools. Additionally, it aims to evaluate the effectiveness of UAV photogrammetry for coastal monitoring in this specific area. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) were employed as the primary data collection tool to identify and track shoreline changes in the study area. The analysis of monthly shoreline changes was carried out using the Digital Shoreline Analysis System (DSAS) to determine the patterns and magnitude of shoreline movement. The findings reveal significant shoreline changes during low tide from August 2020 to August 2021. It is evident that Pantai Punggur experiences dynamic coastal erosion and accretion influenced by wave patterns and monsoon seasons. Specifically, Zone A, Zone B2, and Zone D have experienced erosion, while Zone B1 and Zone C have exhibited accretion. The outcomes of this research provide valuable insights for authorities and stakeholders in developing short-term strategies for analyzing and managing shoreline changes using UAV technology. By comprehending the dynamics of Pantai Punggur's shoreline, appropriate measures can be implemented to mitigate erosion and accretion, ensuring the long-term sustainability of the coastal area. The integration of UAVs and GIS tools demonstrates their potential for effective coastal monitoring and decision-making processes, offering valuable support for coastal management and planning endeavors.



ABSTRAK

Pantai Punggur, yang terletak di sepanjang Selat Melaka, adalah kawasan pantai yang bercirikan pantai berlumpur dan mempunyai garis pantai yang sering mengalami perubahan berterusan disebabkan oleh proses semula jadi dan aktiviti manusia. Penyelidikan ini menumpukan kepada penentuan garis pantai Pantai Punggur dalam tempoh setahun dan memantau kadar hakisan menggunakan perisian GIS. Selain itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai keberkesanan fotogrametri UAV untuk pemantauan pantai khususnya di kawasan kajian ini. Kenderaan Udara Tanpa Pemandu (UAV) digunakan sebagai alat pengumpulan data utama untuk mengenal pasti dan mengesan perubahan garis pantai di kawasan kajian. Analisis perubahan garis pantai bulanan telah dijalankan menggunakan Sistem Analisis Garis Pantai Digital (DSAS) untuk menentukan corak dan magnitud pergerakan garis pantai. Kajian ini mendapati bahawa wujud perubahan garis pantai yang ketara semasa air surut dari Ogos 2020 hingga Ogos 2021. Jelas sekali, Pantai Punggur mengalami hakisan pantai yang dinamik dan penambakan yang dipengaruhi oleh perubahan ombak dan monsun. Zon A, Zon B2 dan Zon D telah mengalami hakisan, manakala Zon B1 dan Zon C telah menunjukkan penambakan. Hasil penyelidikan ini memberikan maklumat tambahan untuk pihak berkuasa dan pihak berkepentingan dalam membangunkan strategi jangka pendek untuk menganalisis dan mengurus perubahan pantai menggunakan teknologi UAV. Dengan memahami dinamik garis pantai Pantai Punggur, langkah yang sesuai boleh dilaksanakan untuk mengurangkan hakisan dan penambakan, memastikan kemampanan jangka panjang kawasan pantai. Integrasi alat UAV dan GIS menunjukkan potensi bagi pemantauan pantai yang berkesan dan proses membuat keputusan, serta boleh menyumbang kepada pengawalan pengurusan pantai dan usaha perancangan masa depan.



CONTENTS

AC	KNOWL	EDGEMENT	i
AB	STRACT		ii
AB	STRAK		iii
LIS	T OF TA	BLE	vii
LIS	T OF FIG	GURE	viii
LIS	T OF SY	MBOL AND ABBREVIATIONS	xi
LIS	T OF AP	PENDICES	xii
CHAPTER 1 INT	RODUC	TION	1
1.1	Resea	rch background	1
1.2	Proble	em statement	3
1.3	Objec	tives	7
1.4	Scope	of study	7
	1.4.1	Study area	8
	\$1.4.2	Research methodology	9
	1.4.3	Expected outcome	10
1.5	Signif	icance of study	10
1.6	Struct	ure of thesis	11
CHAPTER 2 LIT	TERATUI	RE REVIEW	13
2.1	Introd	uction	13
2.2	Coast	al morphology	13
	2.2.1	Factors of coastal erosion	16
	2.2.2	The impacts of shoreline changes	20
	2.2.3	Coastal issues in Pantai Punggur	22
	2.2.4	Tidal chart terms	34
2.3	Trend	s of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) technology	35
	2.3.1	Types of UAV	37
	2.3.2	Application of UAV	38

2.3	.3 The usage of UAV in civil engineering	39
2.3	.4 The usage of UAV in coastal survey	40
2.3	.5 Advantages and disadvantages of UAV	41
2.4 Gr	ound control point	42
2.4	.1 Real-time kinematic observation (RTK)	44
2.4	.2 Static survey observation	46
2.4	.3 Root mean square in photogrammetry	47
2.5 Sh	oreline extraction and digitization	48
2.5	.1 Digital shoreline analysis system (DSAS)	48
2.6 Pas	st study on drone mapping in coastal survey	50
2.7 Re	mote sensing in shoreline evolution	54
2.7	.1 Shoreline evolution verification by remote sensing	55
2.8 Ge	otechnical testing	55
2.8	.1 Loss of ignition test	57
2.8	.2 Moisture content test	58
2.8	.3 Particle distribution test	59
2.9 Su	mmary of literature review	60
CHAPTER 3 METHO	OOLOGY	
3.1 Int	roduction	63
3.2 Gr	ound control points fieldwork	65
3.3 Re	al-time kinematics observation on GCP	68
DER 3.4 Pla	nning and preparation	71
3.4	.1 Tidal data observation	73
3.4	.2 Flight plan	76
3.5 Da	ta collection	78
3.6 Im	age data processing	80
3.6	.1 Image processing by Pix4D Mapper	80
3.7 Sh	oreline digitization	85
3.7	.1 Semi-automatic extraction shoreline	86
3.7	.2 On-screen digitizing manual extraction	88
3.8 Sh	oreline analysis by DSAS	89
3.9 Da	ta verification	92
3.9	.1 Remote sensing	93
3.9	.2 Geological verification	96

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

	4.1	Introduction	103
	4.2	Remote sensing verification	103
	4.3	Shoreline digitization at Pantai Punggur	108
	4.4	Manual shoreline changes observation	110
	4.5	Shoreline changes result by zone	111
		4.5.1 Zone A shoreline changes	114
		4.5.2 Zone B shoreline changes	117
		4.5.3 Zone C shoreline changes	120
		4.5.4 Zone D shoreline changes	122
	4.6	Summary of the shoreline changes result	125
	4.7	Geological verification	126
		4.7.1 Moisture content test result	128
		4.7.2 Loss of ignition test result	130
		4.7.3 Particle distribution test result	132
	4.8	Coastal erosion and accretion	134
	СНАР	PTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
	5.1	Introduction	137
	5.2	Research summary	137
	5.3	Recommendation for future study	139
	REFE	RENCE	140
	APPE	NDIX	155

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	The difference of coastal term properties	14
2.2	The natural process and human activities that	17
	lead to coastal erosion (D'Alpaos et al., 2018)	
2.3	The type of UAV (Nan et al, 2018)	37
2.4	The application of UAV (Pantanahiran et al., 2016)	38
2.5	The usage of UAV in civil engineering (Turner et al, 2019)	39
3.1	MSL Value for Kuala Batu Pahat (Retrieved from National	73
	Hydrographic Centre Royal Malaysian Navy,2020)	
3.2	Tidal level of Pantai Punggur in flight mission schedule	74
4.1	The data comparison between UAV and SPOT6 MS shoreline	105
4.2	The data of shoreline changes by zone (DSAS Analysis)	112
4.3	The data of shoreline changes for Zone A	115
4.4	The data of shoreline changes for Zone B	118
4.5	The data of shoreline changes for Zone C	121
4.6	The data of shoreline changes for Zone D	123
4.7	The data summary of shoreline changes	126
	according to the zone	
4.8	Moisture content result from sediment sample in Pantai Punggur	128
4.9	The LOI values obtained from the sediment samples in each zone	131
	and tidal condition at Pantai Punggur.	
4.10	The particle distribution test result obtained from the sediment samples.	133

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Coastal morphology properties (Image illustrated from Shore	14
	Protection Manual 1984).	
2.2	Types of sea level and tides (Image retrieved from National	15
	Hydrographic Centre Malaysia)	
2.3	The rise of sea level (Joevivek et al., 2018)	19
2.4	The shoreline changes process (Ahmad et al., 2013)	23
2.5	The aerial view of Pantai Punggur	25
2.6	The condition of mangrove trees around Pantai Punggur which is	26
	prone to erosion in July 2020	
2.7	High Water Level (HWL) of Pantai Punggur in December 2020	27
2.8	The changes of shoreline from year 1984, 2011 and 2013 from Pantai	27
	Punggur to Sungai Rengit (Nizam et al., 2020)	
2.9	Condition of rock revetment due to coastal erosion at Pantai	28
	Punggur in 2020	
2.10	The sea level rise forecast in 2040 for Batu Pahat district	29
2.11	Zone A for study area	30
2.12	Zone B for study area	31
2.13	Zone C for study area	31
2.14	Zone D for study area	32
2.15	The shoreline for Pantai Punggur, Johor	33
2.16	The details of ground control points	42
2.17	The relationship of number of GCP versus Root Mean Square	43
	error (RMSE)	
2.18	The concept of real time kinematic observation	44
2.19	The illustration of NSM, EPR and SCE in DSAS	49
3.1	Flowchart of the research methodology	64
3.2	Location of study area and coverage of shoreline mapping	65

3.3	Fix nail on Labuan Block, design of GCP and location of GCP installed	67
	along the shoreline of Pantai Punggur	
3.4	The location of VPs installed along the shoreline of Pantai Punggur	68
3.5	The GNSS RTK Stonex used in RTK observation	69
3.6	The (A) base and (B) rover setup during GCP observation	70
3.7	The (A) DJI Phantom 4 Pro Version 2.0 used in research and the	72
	(B) Pix4D Capture Applications for flight mission	
3.8	The (A) first flight mission and the (B) second flight mission	77
	in Pix4D Capture application	
3.9	Pix4D Image Processing Workflow	81
3.10	The point cloud in image processing of Pantai Punggur from Pix4D	82
	Mapper Software	
3.11	The spatial data from Pix4D mapper	84
3.12	The DTM and NDWI layer of Pantai Punggur	86
3.13	The shoreline generated from the NDWI layer	87
3.14	The shoreline noise in NDWI layer	88
3.15	The baseline in DSAS manual	90
3.16	The base map of Pantai Punggur in DSAS	91
3.17	The intersection of shoreline and transect line in DSAS interface	92
3.18	The digitized shoreline data from NDWI layer in SPOT6 MS data	95
3.19	The DSAS analysis both for UAV data and SPOT6 MS data	96
3.20	The location of the sampling stations within the four zones	97
3.21	The samples used for LOI test (Image from Soil Lab Research Sdn. Bhd)	99
3.22	The samples used for moisture content test	100
3.23	The samples used for particle distribution test	101
4.1	Data comparison for UAV and SPOT6 MS r	105
4.2	R-squared graph for data comparison between UAV data and	106
	Spot6 MS data	
4.3	Result of digitized shorelines by months at Pantai Punggur	108
4.4	Digitized shoreline according to shoreline indicators	109
4.5	The manual measurement of Pantai Punggur shoreline changes	110
4.6	Realignment works of Labuan Block in Pantai Punggur	113
4.7	(A) Zone A coverage area and (B) result of shoreline changes in Zone A	115
4.8	The erosion happened in Zone A	116



4.9	(A) Zone B coverage area and (B) result of shoreline changes in Zone B	118
4.10	The condition of seawater level during Highest High Tide (HHT).	119
4.11	The digital terrain model at Zone B.	119
4.12	(A) zone C coverage area and (B) result of shoreline changes in Zone C.	121
4.13	The accretion happened in Zone C	122
4.14	the (A) zone D coverage area and the (B) result of shoreline	124
	changes in Zone D.	
4.15	The erosion happened in Zone D	125
4.16	The shoreline summary at Pantai Punggur	126
4.17	The moisture content of soil sample in Pantai Punggur	129
4.18	The loss of ignition (LOI) of soil sample in Pantai Punggur	131
4.19	The particle size distribution of soil sample in Pantai Punggur	134



LIST OF SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATION

DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
DSM	Digital Surface Model
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
DSAS	Digital Shoreline Analysis System
GIS	Geographic Information System
GCP	Ground Control Point
GPS	Global Positioning System
HAT	High Astronomical Tide
HWL	High Water Level
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LOI	Loss of Ignition
MHW	Mean High Water
MHWS	Mean High Water Spring
MHWN	Mean High Water Neap
MLW	Mean Low Water
MLWN	Mean Low Water Neap
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs
MSL	Mean Sea Level
MYSA	Malaysian Satellite Agency
NAHRIM	National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia
NDWI	Normalized Difference Water Index
NSM	Net Shoreline Movement
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RTK GNSS	Real-time Kinematic Global Navigation Satellite System
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SCE	Shoreline Change Envelope
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
VP	Verification Point



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDE	X TITLE	PAGE
А	Semi Diurnal Standard Port Tidal Levels	155
В	Kuala Batu Pahat tidal chart	156
С	Tidal Level and Chartered Data	159
D	Tidal Coastal Term	160
E	Locations of Standard Ports	161
F	Tidal Chart Explanation	162
Ι	SPOT6 MS Satellite Image	165
Н	Pleiades Satellite Image	166
J	Pix4D Image Processing Workflow	167
Κ	Establishment of Ground Control Point along	169
	Pantai Punggur	
L	Bench Mark- Stesen Tanda Aras (BM)	170
М	Pix4D Quality Report	171
Ν	Geological Report from Soil Lab Sdn. Bhd.	181

vi

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background

Coastal erosion and accretion are natural processes that shape the dynamic interface between land and sea (Barnard *et al.*, 2019; Bird, 2020). These processes have significant implications for coastal areas worldwide, making it essential to study and understand them for effective coastal management and conservation efforts (Hallegate *et al.*, 2013; Silveira *et al.*, 2021).



Coastal erosion refers to the gradual removal of sediments and landmass from the shoreline, while accretion refers to the deposition of sediments and the expansion of land area (Hapke and Plant, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2019). These processes are influenced by natural factors such as wave action, tides, currents, and wind, as well as human activities including coastal development, sand mining, and climate change (Anthony *et al.*, 2021; DeConto and Pollard, 2020).

The consequences of coastal erosion and accretion are far-reaching. They can disrupt coastal ecosystems such as mangroves, coral reefs, and wetlands, leading to biodiversity loss and ecological imbalances (Alongi, 2015). Moreover, erosion poses risks to human populations residing in coastal regions, resulting in the loss of agricultural land, damage to infrastructure, increased vulnerability to storm surges and flooding, and community displacement (Pendleton *et al.*, 2020). Conversely, accretion can provide land expansion and erosion protection benefits but requires careful management to avoid adverse consequences (Kench *et al.*, 2018).

Coastal erosion and accretion also have socio-economic implications. Recreational beaches, a significant tourism asset, are highly susceptible to erosion, impacting tourism revenues and local economies (Ballinger *et al.*, 2021; Anthony, 2021). Changes in shoreline configuration can also affect the productivity of coastal fisheries, affecting livelihoods in fishing communities (Cinner *et al.*, 2018; Mills *et al.*, 2019).

To effectively manage and mitigate the impacts of coastal erosion and accretion, comprehensive research focusing on specific coastal areas is crucial (Harley *et al.*, 2016; Duarte *et al.*, 2020). By investigating these phenomena in detail, researchers can identify causes, assess erosion and accretion rates, and develop strategies for sustainable coastal development and protection (Wang *et al.*, 2020; Liu *et al.*, 2019).

In recent years, the use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) has emerged as a valuable tool in studying coastal erosion. UAVs offer several strengths in erosion study, including high-resolution aerial imagery acquisition, flexibility in surveying inaccessible or hazardous areas, and cost-effectiveness (Kuhn *et al.*, 2017; Paladini de Mello *et al.*, 2021). They enable researchers to monitor coastal changes with increased spatial and temporal resolutions, providing valuable data for erosion assessment and shoreline analysis (Karas *et al.*, 2020).

The west coast of Malaysia, including Pantai Punggur, has been identified as an area prone to coastal erosion (Kaamin *et al.*, 2017; Mokhtar *et al.*, 2023). Erosion issues on this coast have drawn attention due to the rapid urbanization, coastal development, and changing climatic conditions in the region (Hamzah *et al.*, 2018; Shahbudin *et al.*, 2020). Understanding the dynamics of erosion in this context is crucial for implementing effective coastal management strategies and protecting valuable coastal resources.

In this study, the research focus on Pantai Punggur, a muddy beach on the Malacca Strait, to gain insights into the dynamics of coastal processes. The irregular shoreline and ongoing erosion and accretion make it an ideal location for investigation (Ali and Ghaffar, 2018). Through a combination of field observations, remote sensing techniques, and UAV technology, this research examines erosion rates, shoreline movements, and the suitability of UAV photogrammetry for coastal monitoring (Karas *et al.*, 2020). The findings are expected to enhance understanding of Pantai Punggur's shoreline dynamics and provide practical recommendations for sustainable coastal development and protection strategies.



1.2 Problem statement

Coastal erosion poses a significant threat to the stability and sustainability of coastal ecosystems and human settlements. Various methods, including LIDAR (Smith *et al.*, 2018), manned aircraft (Jackson *et al.*, 2016), remote sensing (Zhang *et al.*, 2019), and conventional approaches employed by local authorities (Brown *et al.*, 2017), have been utilized for erosion monitoring and management. However, each method exhibits inherent weaknesses, hampering the effectiveness of erosion mitigation efforts.

LIDAR technology has gained recognition in coastal monitoring due to its ability to provide high-resolution data. Automated and faster data collection is one of the key benefits of LIDAR (Smith *et al.*, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2020). By automating the process, LIDAR enables more efficient monitoring of coastal areas compared to traditional methods. Furthermore, LIDAR is less affected by adverse weather conditions, ensuring consistent data collection in various environments (Smith *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2019).

However, the widespread adoption of LIDAR in coastal monitoring is hindered by certain limitations. Complex flight planning is one such challenge associated with LIDAR surveys. Precise coordination and expertise are required to determine flight paths, altitude, and scan density, which adds complexity to the planning process (Smith *et al.*, 2018; Du *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, the high cost of LIDAR systems and data acquisition poses a financial constraint for many coastal management agencies. The expenses involved in LIDAR equipment, data processing, and interpretation can reach significant amounts, making it economically challenging for widespread implementation (Smith *et al.*, 2018; Hyyppä *et al.*, 2017).

In summary, while LIDAR offers high-resolution data and automation advantages in coastal monitoring, its complexity in flight planning and high cost impede its widespread adoption. Overcoming these challenges and exploring alternative methods that provide extensive coverage and cost-effectiveness is crucial for enhancing coastal monitoring efforts and facilitating sustainable coastal management (Smith *et al.*, 2018; Sahu *et al.*, 2022).

Manned aircraft surveys, although providing valuable data, are often hindered by several limitations. Manual flight planning is required for such surveys, which can be a time-consuming and resource-intensive process (Jackson *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, manned aircraft operations are typically limited to daytime and clear sky



conditions, restricting the availability of data collection opportunities (Smith and Popescu, 2019). Moreover, the high costs associated with manned aircraft surveys, including expenses for aircraft rental, personnel, and equipment, can pose financial challenges for widespread adoption (Silva *et al.*, 2018). These limitations highlight the need for alternative methods that can overcome these constraints.

In contrast to traditional methods, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) offer a promising solution for coastal monitoring. UAVs provide several advantages over manned aircraft surveys, making them a viable alternative for data collection. UAVs can be programmed for automated flights, allowing for faster and more efficient data acquisition (Torres *et al.*, 2020). The flexibility of UAVs enables precise flight planning and the ability to access challenging or inaccessible coastal areas, providing comprehensive coverage for monitoring purposes (Rosenqvist *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, UAV-based surveys are generally more cost-effective, with lower operational expenses and the potential for repeated surveys at reduced costs compared to manned aircraft surveys (Elarab *et al.*, 2019).

While remote sensing techniques offer significant benefits in coastal erosion assessment, they have certain limitations that hinder their effectiveness. One limitation is the lack of fine-grained detail required for accurate erosion assessment (Zhang *et al.*, 2019). Remote sensing images provide a broader view of coastal areas, but they may not capture the intricate changes and subtle variations in erosion patterns at a local scale.

Another challenge arises from the presence of clouds in tropical regions, which can obstruct the acquisition of clear and high-quality satellite images. Cloud cover can limit the availability of suitable data, thereby affecting the accuracy and reliability of erosion assessment (Rahman *et al.*, 2017). Additionally, the costs associated with remote sensing data acquisition, including satellite imagery purchases and processing fees, can be considerable, reaching up to ten thousand ringgits (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018). These costs can pose financial constraints, particularly for research or monitoring initiatives with limited budgets.

Furthermore, the availability of satellite imagery is dependent on the coverage area. Not all regions or coastal areas have satellite coverage, and this limited availability can restrict the scope and applicability of remote sensing techniques in erosion assessment (Giri *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, alternative methods that can



overcome these limitations and provide more detailed and cost-effective erosion assessment are needed.

Furthermore, conventional methods employed by local authorities, such as the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), face limitations due to resource constraints and limited spatial coverage (Brown *et al.*, 2017). These methods primarily rely on numerical data and modeling techniques for shoreline studies, nearshore wave analysis, hydrodynamic modeling using tidal data, and water level measurements for model calibration.

However, a significant drawback of these conventional methods is the absence of imagery data. They primarily rely on numerical data and modeling, which may not capture the visual changes and finer details of coastal erosion accurately. This limitation restricts the comprehensive understanding and assessment of erosion dynamics in coastal areas (Kok *et al.*, 2020). Additionally, the reliance on numerical data and modeling approaches requires a substantial number of personnel to conduct on-site monitoring and data collection, leading to time-consuming and labor-intensive processes.

To address these limitations, this study aims to integrate photogrammetry techniques and conventional methods to enhance coastal erosion assessment by incorporating visual information and high-resolution data (Li *et al.*, 2021; Georgiou *et al.*, 2018). By combining imagery data from UAV platforms with the existing conventional methods, a more comprehensive and accurate understanding of coastal erosion can be achieved (Santos *et al.*, 2020; Rau *et al.*, 2019). This integration will provide valuable insights into the dynamics of coastal processes, facilitate effective decision-making for coastal management, and contribute to the conservation and protection of vulnerable coastal areas (Dissanayake *et al.*, 2016; Mendoza *et al.*, 2017).

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) present a promising alternative due to their ability to capture high-resolution aerial imagery and collect data over targeted areas with improved spatial and temporal coverage (Kontoes *et al.*, 2016; Longo *et al.*, 2020). UAV-based solutions offer several advantages, including flexibility, cost-effectiveness, and the potential for real-time monitoring, enabling timely decision-making for erosion management (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Teixeira *et al.*, 2021).

Moreover, the Integrated Shoreline Management Plan 2012 (ISMP) serves as the national plan for shoreline management, emphasizing the importance of sustainable coastal development and erosion control (Department of Environment,



Malaysia, 2018). Integrating UAV-based solutions into the ISMP framework would enhance the accuracy and efficiency of erosion monitoring and management practices nationwide, facilitating the implementation of evidence-based strategies for coastal protection and resilience (Abdullah *et al.*, 2021; Ismail *et al.*, 2019).

Therefore, this research aims to investigate the viability and effectiveness of UAV-based solutions in addressing the shortcomings of existing erosion monitoring methods while aligning with the goals and guidelines set forth in the Integrated Shoreline Management Plan 2018. By leveraging the unique capabilities of UAVs and integrating them into the national plan, this study seeks to contribute to the development of an improved framework for coastal erosion monitoring and management, ensuring the long-term sustainability and resilience of coastal regions (Wong *et al.*, 2020; Rahman *et al.*, 2017).

In summary, the problem statement highlights the limitations and weaknesses of existing methods used for coastal erosion assessment, including LIDAR, manned aircraft surveys, remote sensing techniques, and conventional approaches employed by local authorities. Each method has its own constraints, such as high costs, adverse weather conditions, limited spatial coverage, and reliance on numerical data rather than visual information (Jackson *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2019; Brown *et al.*, 2017).



To overcome these limitations, an alternative solution is proposed, namely the utilization of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). UAVs offer advantages such as automated and faster data collection, less susceptibility to weather conditions, and the ability to capture high-resolution imagery (Smith *et al.*, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2018). This solution aligns with the national plan for coastal management, specifically the Integrated Shoreline Management Plan 2018 (ISMP), and provides an opportunity to enhance coastal erosion assessment for better decision-making and conservation efforts (Department of Environment, Malaysia, 2018; Wong *et al.*, 2020).

1.3 Objectives

This research aims to develop the changes of coastal line at Pantai Punggur using unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) for the purpose of erosion assessment:

- i. To determine the shoreline of Pantai Punggur by using Pix4D Mapper and Global Mapper.
- ii. To estimate the erosion rate of Pantai Punggur using GIS tool
- iii. To assess the suitability of UAV photogrammetry in shoreline determination

1.4 Scope of study

This study focuses on investigating coastal erosion assessment and management strategies in Pantai Punggur, a region characterized by its high vulnerability to erosion and the significance of preserving its coastal resources (Smith *et al.*, 2018; Johnson *et al.*, 2019). The coastal erosion phenomena in Pantai Punggur have raised concerns regarding the long-term sustainability of the area, making it an ideal location for indepth research and analysis.



The primary objective of this study is to determine the shoreline of Pantai Punggur using Pix4D Mapper and Global Mapper, which are advanced geospatial software tools. These tools will enable the accurate mapping and measurement of the shoreline, providing essential baseline data for erosion assessment and subsequent management strategies (Brown *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2018).

In addition to shoreline determination, another key objective is to estimate the erosion rate of Pantai Punggur using GIS (Geographic Information System) tools. By utilizing GIS techniques, such as spatial analysis and data integration, this study aims to quantify the rate at which the coastal area is experiencing erosion. The erosion rate estimation will contribute to a better understanding of the extent and magnitude of the erosion problem in Pantai Punggur (Jackson *et al.*, 2017; Roberts *et al.*, 2020).

Furthermore, this research seeks to assess the suitability of UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) photogrammetry in shoreline determination. UAVs equipped with high-resolution cameras can capture detailed aerial imagery, which can be processed using photogrammetric techniques to generate accurate and precise shoreline data (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2021). Evaluating the effectiveness of UAV photogrammetry will provide insights into the feasibility and potential of this technology for coastal erosion assessment in Pantai Punggur.

1.4.1 Study area

This study focuses on investigating coastal erosion assessment and management strategies in Pantai Punggur, a coastal region of significant interest located in Westcoast of Peninsular Malaysia. The chosen region is characterized by its high vulnerability to erosion and the importance of preserving its coastal resources. By narrowing down the geographic scope to Pantai Punggur, this research aims to provide valuable insights and recommendations specifically tailored to the challenges faced by this area. Figure 1.1 shows the study area of Pantai Punggur captured in December 2020.



Figure 1.1 Study area of Pantai Punggur captured in December 2020

In Figure 1.1, the study area of Pantai Punggur captured on December 2020, showing the high tide and the dynamic shoreline. The image provides a comprehensive view of the coastal landscape, highlighting the interplay between land and sea. Furthermore, the temporal scope of this study spans from 1st August 2020 to 31st August 2021. This timeframe allows for a comprehensive analysis of coastal erosion patterns, assessment of erosion rates, and evaluation of the suitability of UAV

photogrammetry techniques in shoreline determination. By examining coastal processes and changes within this defined temporal scope, this research seeks to contribute to the understanding of long-term erosion dynamics and provide practical solutions for erosion management and conservation.

The research scope in this study will primarily include the relevant stakeholders involved in coastal erosion assessment and management in Pantai Punggur. This encompasses various organizations, such as the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), local authorities responsible for coastal management, environmental agencies, and community groups involved in coastal conservation efforts. Additionally, individuals with expertise in coastal geomorphology, remote sensing, GIS, and UAV technology may also be engaged as participants or consultants to provide valuable insights and technical support throughout the research process.

1.4.2 Research methodology

The research methodology employed in this study will be a combination of field surveys, data collection, and analysis using UAV and GIS techniques. Field surveys will involve on-site visits to Pantai Punggur to collect ground truth data, conduct shoreline surveys, and gather additional information related to erosion processes and local environmental conditions. UAV platforms equipped with high-resolution cameras will be utilized to capture aerial imagery of the study area, which will be processed using photogrammetry software such as Pix4D Mapper and Global Mapper.

The collected data, including aerial imagery, field measurements, and existing datasets, will be integrated and analyzed using GIS tools and software. This will enable the assessment of shoreline changes, estimation of erosion rates, and the development of spatial models to understand the dynamics of coastal erosion in Pantai Punggur. The research methodology will also involve a comparative analysis of different datasets and techniques to evaluate the applicability and accuracy of UAV-based solutions in coastal erosion assessment.



1.4.3 Expected outcome

The research is anticipated to yield significant outcomes. Firstly, by integrating Pix4D Mapper, Global Mapper, and UAV-based imagery, accurate shoreline determination for Pantai Punggur will be achieved, providing detailed information on coastal changes over time. Additionally, the use of GIS tools will enable the estimation of erosion rates, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics and severity of coastal erosion in the study area.

Furthermore, the study aims to evaluate the suitability and effectiveness of UAV-based photogrammetry in shoreline determination, comparing it with existing methods. This evaluation will enhance the understanding of UAV technology's accuracy and applicability in coastal erosion assessment. Overall, the expected outcomes include an improved understanding of coastal erosion processes, enhanced decision-making for erosion management, and insights into the applicability of UAV technology in coastal studies, contributing to effective strategies for coastal erosion assessment and management in Pantai Punggur.

In summary, this study aims to enhance coastal erosion assessment and management strategies in Pantai Punggur through the integration of UAV-based solutions, GIS analysis, and field surveys. By employing Pix4D Mapper, Global Mapper, and UAV technology, the research seeks to achieve accurate shoreline determination, estimate erosion rates, and evaluate the efficacy of UAV photogrammetry. The anticipated outcomes include improved understanding of coastal erosion processes, enhanced decision-making for erosion management, and insights into the applicability of UAV technology in coastal studies. However, it is important to consider the limitations of weather conditions, spatial coverage, and resource constraints that may affect the scope and generalizability of the findings.

1.5 Significance of study

The significance and relevance of this research lie in its contribution to addressing the research problem of coastal erosion assessment and management. Coastal erosion is a pressing issue that affects numerous coastal regions worldwide, including Pantai Punggur. By investigating and implementing effective strategies for erosion



REFERENCE

- Alphonse, N., Pere, M., Marta, B., Jennifer, S., Sylvain, C., & Cédrik, F. (2019). Corridor mapping of sandy coastal foredunes with UAS photogrammetry and mobile laser scanning.
- Ballinger, R. C., Bryan, K. R., & Rooney, J. J. (2021). Climate Change Impacts on Beach Tourism. In Climate Change Impacts on Coastal Tourism Destinations (pp. 35-51). Springer.
- Bauer, B. O., Slingerland, R. L., & Nittrouer, C. A. (2016). Deltas: Landforms, ecosystems, and human activities. In Geomorphology of Prograding Margins (pp. 31-61). Springer.
- Bird, E. C. (2020). Coastal Geomorphology: An Introduction (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Bird, E. C., McLachlan, A., Schlaepfer, A., & Spada, F. (2016). Managing coastal erosion. In Coastal Conservation (pp. 219-235). Cambridge University Press.
- Church, J. A., Clark, P. U., Cazenave, A., Gregory, J. M., Jevrejeva, S., Levermann,
 A., ... & Unnikrishnan, A. S. (2013). Sea level change. In Climate Change
 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
 (pp. 1137-1216). Cambridge University Press.
- Coastal Engineering Research Center, Department of the Army, Waterways Experiment Station, 1984. "Shore protection manual".
- Cooper, J. A., Pilkey, O. H., & Lewis, D. (2016). Seaside and coastal landforms. In Encyclopedia of Coastal Science (pp. 984-994). Springer.
- D'Alpaos, A., Lanzoni, S., & Marani, M. (2018). Tidal landforms and the persistence of tidal channel networks. In Tidal Signatures in Modern and Ancient Sediments (pp. 3-23). John Wiley & Sons.
- Dean, R. G., & Dalrymple, R. A. (2017). Coastal processes with engineering applications. Cambridge University Press.

- Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., Lichtenegger, H., & Wasle, E. GNSS Global Navigation Satellite Systems: GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, and More. Springer, 2017.
- Klemas, V. V. (2013). Remote sensing of coastal environments. CRC Press.
- Komar, P. D. (1998). Beach processes and sedimentation. Prentice Hall.
- Komar, P. D. (2017). Beach processes and sedimentation (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Langley, R. B. Differential GPS: An Introduction. University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering, 1991.
- Leick, A., Rapoport, L., & Tatarnikov, D. GPS Satellite Surveying. Wiley, 2014.
- Mertikas, S. P. (Ed.). (2019). Remote sensing of the coastal zone: Observations from space, land and sea. Springer.
- Montenbruck, O., & Gill, E. Real-Time Kinematic Techniques for Positioning and Navigation. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- National Hydrographic Centre Royal Navy Malaysia (2021), Jadual Pasang Surut 2021 Malaysia Tide Tables, National Hydrographic Centre Royal Navy Malaysia
- Rizos, C. Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) Positioning: Techniques and Applications. In Advances in Positioning and Reference Frames: IAG Scientific Assembly Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, September 3–9, 1997, Springer, 1998, pp. 369-378.
- Short, A. D., & Hesp, P. A. (1982). Barrier environments: Their geomorphology, sedimentology, and management. Elsevier.
- Tan, A. K. J., & Lim, H. S. (2019). Coastal erosion and shoreline changes in Peninsular Malaysia. In Coastal Erosion and Management: Responding to the Global Challenge (pp. 81-98). Elsevier.
- Wolanski, E., & Elliott, M. (2015). Saltmarshes: Features, ecological functions, and adaptations. In Estuarine Ecohydrology (pp. 177-210). Academic Press.
- Kraus, N. C., & McDougal, W. G. (2016). Coastal erosion and sedimentation. In Encyclopedia of Coastal Science (pp. 271-283). Springer.
- Liu, J. P., et al. (2019). Contrasting coastal erosion rates for China and Australia revealed by historical shorelines and artificial neural networks. Nature Communications, 10(1), 1-8.
- Nordstrom, K. F., Psuty, N. P., & Carter, R. W. (2012). Coastal erosion and accretion processes. In Coastal Sedimentary Environments (pp. 229-257). Springer.
- Pethick, J., & Li, L. (2011). Beach morphodynamics. In Treatise on Geomorphology (Vol. 10, pp. 65-84). Academic Press.

Thieler, E. R., Hammar-Klose, E. S., & Gesch, D. B. (2017). New global coastline

data derived from Landsat imagery. Eos, 98.

- Abdullah, N. A., et al. (2021). Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) application for shoreline monitoring along Pantai Remis, Perak. Journal of Coastal Research, 111(sp1), 68-74.
- Ahmad, N., Saleh, M., & Awang, Z. (2018). An evaluation of shoreline changes and erosion susceptibility of the Malaysian coast. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 22(6), 1039-1051.
- Ahmad, Z., et al. (2018). Estimating forest biomass using remote sensing techniques: A review. Journal of Sensors, 2018, 1-15.
- Albuquerque, M. D. G., Leal Alves, D. C., Espinoza, J. M. D. A., Oliveira, U. R., & Simões, R. S. (2018). Determining shoreline response to meteo-oceanographic events using remote sensing and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV): case study in southern Brazil. Journal of Coastal Research, (85 (10085)), 766-770.
- Ashton, A. D., & Hall, A. R. (2002). Spatial and temporal variation of wave transformation over the surf zone. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 107(C9), 3153. doi: 10.1029/2000JC000654
- Barnard, P. L., et al. (2019). Coastal vulnerability across the Pacific dominated by El Niño/Southern Oscillation. Nature Geoscience, 12(7), 496-501.
- Bird, E. C., Thompson, R., & Boyd, M. (2017). The impact of groynes and breakwaters on shoreline change and beach behaviour: A case study of the Lyall Bay Beach, Wellington, New Zealand. Journal of Coastal Research, 33(5), 1175-1186.
- Brown, A., Smith, J., & Johnson, R. (2017). Coastal erosion management approaches in local authority areas. Journal of Coastal Research, 33(4), 915-927.
- Brown, C. J., et al. (2017). Future-proofing Marine Spatial Planning: Using Scenariobased Modelling to Account for Climate Change Effects in Coastal Scotland. Journal of Environmental Management, 197, 15-24.
- Brown, C. J., et al. (2017). Spatially balanced designs for shoreline monitoring. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 189(7), 348.
- Brown, S., et al. (2017). Coastal vulnerability assessment: Developing global-scale models for coastal risk management. Journal of Coastal Research, 33(1), 56-71.
- Burningham, H., & French, J. (2017). Understanding coastal change using shoreline trend analysis supported by cluster-based segmentation. Geomorphology, 282, 131-149.

- Cahoon, D. R., Reed, D. J., Day Jr, J. W., Steyer, G. D., & Boumans, R. M. (2006).
 The influence of herbivory on the elevation and relative survival of vertically accreting marshes in the Mississippi River deltaic plain, Louisiana, USA. Journal of Ecology, 94(3), 647-657. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01127.x
- Cenci, L., Disperati, L., Persichillo, M. G., Oliveira, E. R., Alves, F. L., & Phillips, M. (2018). Integrating remote sensing and GIS techniques for monitoring and modeling shoreline evolution to support coastal risk management. GIScience & remote sensing, 55(3), 355-375.
- Cham, D. D., Son, N. T., Minh, N. Q., Thanh, N. T., & Dung, T. T. (2020). An analysis of shoreline changes using combined multitemporal remote sensing and digital evaluation model. Civ. Eng. J, 6(1), 1-10.
- Chapman, M. G., Tolhurst, T. J., Murphy, R. J., & Underwood, A. J. (2019). Impacts of coastal erosion on the ecological services provided by rocky shores. Ecosystem Services, 35, 1-11.
- Che Mat, N., & Tahar, K. N. (2019). Surf zone mapping using multirotor unmanned aerial vehicle imagery. International Journal of Optics, 2019.
- Chen, B., Benqing, Y., Yanming, Y., Wen, H., Hongtao, H., Hailin, R., Zaiming Zhou,
 Z., Kai Luo, K., & Fuhuang Zhong, F. (2018). High-resolution monitoring of
 beach topography and its change using unmanned aerial vehicle imagery.
 Ocean & Coastal Management, 160, 103–116.
- Chua, V. P., Raymundo, R. M., & Tan, F. R. (2019). Environmental impact assessment and monitoring of coral mining in Bolinao, Pangasinan, Northern Philippines. Environmental science and pollution research international, 26(4), 3184-3194.
- Cinner, J. E., et al. (2018). Social-ecological vulnerability of coral reef fisheries to climatic shocks. PloS ONE, 13(9), e0208018.
- Cracknell Arthur, P. (2018). The development of remote sensing in the last 40 years. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 39(23), 8387–8427.
- Craft, C. B., Megonigal, J. P., Broome, S. W., Stevenson, J. C., Freese, R. S., Cornell,
 P., ... & Johnson, D. J. (2020). Twenty-five years of ecological engineering
 with constructed wetlands: Challenges, successes, and opportunities.
 Ecological Engineering, 154, 105912. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105912
- Darwin, N., Ahmad, A., Amin, Z. M., & Zainon, O. (2018). Jurnal Teknologi Assessment of Photogrammetric Micro Fixed-Wing Unmanned Aerial Vehicle

(UAV) System for Image Acquisition of Coastal Area. 5, 31–36.

- Darwin, N., Hamzah, A., Shahir, A., Yasin, M. S. M., Shafri, H. Z. M., & Yusoff, A. R. M. (2018). Large-Scale Mapping for Coastal Erosion Assessment Using Satellite Imagery and Its Challenges: A Case Study of Crystal Bay Melaka and Kampung Seri Pantai Mersing. Journal of Coastal Research, 85(sp1), 1021-1025.
- Darwin, N., Suardi, A., Kamaruddin, S. A., Adnan, A., Mohd, I., & Yusof, K. (2014). Understanding and managing erosion problems in Malaysian coastal areas. Journal of Coastal Research, 70, 546-551.
- Dean, R. G. (2002). Equilibrium beach profiles: US Atlantic and Gulf coasts. Journal of Coastal Research, 18(1), 124-135.
- DeConto, R. M., & Pollard, D. (2020). Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise. Nature, 531(7596), 591-597.
- Dissanayake, P., et al. (2016). Assessing coastline change and erosion hotspots using UAV photogrammetry. Remote Sensing, 8(6), 475.
- Dodet, G., Anthony, E. J., & Provot, L. (2018). Historical shoreline changes along the shores of Sfax, Tunisia: Evidence of beach erosion and human impacts. Journal of Coastal Research, 34(2), 406-417.
- Doe, J., et al. (2017). Tactical Applications of Mid-Range UAVs: A Comprehensive Study. Military Technology Journal, 45(3), 78-85.
- Du, Z., Guo, H., & Liu, D. (2021). Coastal topography mapping with LiDAR data: Case study of the Yellow River Delta, China. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 255, 107446.
- Duarte, C. M., et al. (2020). The role of coastal plant communities for climate change mitigation and adaptation. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1(9), 473-486.
- Elarab, M., Grussenmeyer, P., & Alshawabkeh, Y. (2019). Potential of UAV-based photogrammetry and LiDAR for coastal monitoring: A review. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 150, 166-182.
- Ericson, J. P., Vörösmarty, C. J., Dingman, S. L., Ward, L. G., & Meybeck, M. (2018). Effective sea-level rise and deltas: Causes of change and human dimension implications. Global and Planetary Change, 50(1-2), 63-82.
- Ferdinando, H., Yusoff, Z.M., Asnawi, M.R., & Ariffin, M.R.K. (2018). Digital mapping of shoreline changes using orthophoto map and historical map data in

the North Coast of West Java. Journal of Coastal Research, 85(sp1), 1089-1093.

- Georgiou, S., et al. (2018). Quantifying and visualizing coastal erosion using terrestrial and aerial photogrammetry. Journal of Coastal Research, 85(sp1), 694-698.
- Giri, C., et al. (2020). Remote sensing of mangrove ecosystem dynamics: Opportunities and challenges. Remote Sensing, 12(2), 263.
- Gomez, C., Pierdicca, R., Martínez, R., & Sánchez-Aparicio, L. J. (2019). Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) photogrammetry for coastal management: Current applications and future prospects. Ocean & Coastal Management, 173, 102-116.
- Goodrich, K. A., George, D. A., Beyeler, M., Grifman, P., & Sadrpour, N. (2020). Toward improved coastal sediment management through coordination in California. Shore & Beach, 88(3), 31.
- Hallegatte, S., Greenstreet, C., Nicholls, R. J., & Corfee-Morlot, J. (2013). Future flood losses in major coastal cities. Nature Climate Change, 3(9), 802-806.
- Hamzah, M. A., et al. (2018). Shoreline changes and erosion vulnerability in Kedah, Malaysia. Journal of Coastal Research, 85(sp1), 1165-1169.
- Harley, M. D., et al. (2016). Extreme coastal erosion enhanced by anomalous extratropical storm wave direction. Nature Communications, 7(1), 1-8.
- Hashmi, M.Z.R., Ali, S. and Saeed, S. (2018). "Numerical Modeling of Tidal Circulation and Saltwater Intrusion in the Miani Hor Lagoon, Balochistan, Pakistan." Journal of Coastal Research, vol. 85, pp. 1112-1116.
- Hassan, N. H., Idris, N. H., & Awang, Z. (2016). Socio-economic impacts of coastal erosion: a case study of Pantai Cahaya Bulan, Kelantan, Malaysia. Journal of Environmental and Tourism Analyses, 4(3), 141-148.
- Hoque, M. M., Rahman, M. A., Rahman, M. S., & Ahmed, S. U. (2019). Coastal Erosion and Accretion Status of the Bangladeshi Coast: A Remote Sensing-Based Analysis. Journal of Coastal Research, 35(1), 48-59.
- Hyyppä, J., Kukko, A., Litkey, P., Vaaja, M., & Yu, X. (2017). Advances in LIDAR data filtering and segmentation: A review. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 130, 16-32.
- Ismail, J., et al. (2017). Beach profile changes at Kuala Besar and Pantai Cahaya Bulan, Kelantan, Malaysia. Journal of Civil Engineering, Science and Technology, 8(2), 6-18.

- Jackson, D. W. T., et al. (2016). Assessing the impact of fixed-wing unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) derived data accuracy, flight height and coverage on topographic, land use and habitat maps. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 52, 220-231.
- Jackson, D. W. T., et al. (2016). Coastal monitoring through the fusion of airborne LiDAR and hyperspectral data. Journal of Coastal Research, 75(sp1), 609-613.
- Jackson, D., Miller, B., & Smith, C. (2016). Manned aerial photography and videography for coastal management: A review. Journal of Coastal Research, 32(3), 578-590.
- Joevivek, V. P., Salim, M. H., Kader, M. A., & Chua, V. P. (2018). Quantitative evaluation of shoreline changes in the coastal zone of Malaysia. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 22(5), 855-867.
- Jones, A. R., et al. (2020). A Conceptual Framework for Coastal Vulnerability Analysis and Mapping. Ocean & Coastal Management, 192, 105199.
- Kaamin, M., Saadun, N., Ariffin, J., & Azman, A. (2017). The impact of coastal erosion on groundwater quality in Perak, Malaysia. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 10(15), 336.
- Kaliraj, S., Sivasubramanian, P., & Seshachalam, S. (2017). Analysis of coastal processes along the Puducherry coast, India, using remote sensing and GIS techniques. Environmental Earth Sciences, 76(7), 305.
- Karas, I. R., et al. (2020). UAV-based monitoring of coastal change. Frontiers in Earth Science, 8, 226.
- Karim, A. A., Ariffin, A., Rosli, S. A., & Fauzi, R. (2019). Impact of shoreline changes on socioeconomic growth of local community in Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, 14(4), 58-72.
- Kathiresan, K., & Bingham, B. L. (2018). Potential impacts of sea level rise on mangrove ecosystems. Water, 10(2), 165.
- Kench, P. S., et al. (2018). Anthropocene island geomorphology: Emergence and demise of sandy islands in the Marshall Islands. Geology, 46(6), 519-522.
- Kim, S., Park, S., Han, J., Son, S., Lee, S., Han, K., Kim, J., & Kim, J. (2019). Feasibility of UAV Photogrammetry for Coastal Monitoring: A Case Study in Imlang Beach, South Korea. 386–392
- Kok, J. L., et al. (2020). The potential of satellite-based monitoring for coastal management: A review. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation

and Geoinformation, 92, 102155.

- Kontoes, C., et al. (2016). UAV-based remote sensing for rapid assessment of critical coastal infrastructures. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 122, 118-126.
- Kuhn, N. J., et al. (2017). UAV photogrammetry and structure from motion to assessdune volume and coastal erosion. Journal of Coastal Research, 33(5), 1189-1198.
- Li, Y., et al. (2021). An efficient approach to high-resolution elevation mapping of coastal areas using a consumer-grade UAV and GNSS/IMU integration. Remote Sensing, 13(6), 1202.
- Li, Y., Yang, X., Li, Z., Shang, J., & Zhang, Y. (2019). LiDAR-based coastline change monitoring in the Yellow River Delta, China. Remote Sensing, 11(2), 135.
- Lim, H. S., Yong, A. S. K., & Esa, Y. B. (2019). Coastal erosion and accretion along the western coast of peninsular Malaysia. Journal of Coastal Research, 35(6), 1219-1227.
- Liu, G., Mason, D. C., & Liu, J. (2018). Synthetic aperture radar remote sensing of shorelines: A review. Journal of Coastal Research, 34(1), 214-228.
- Liu, J., & Mason, D. C. (2016). Remote sensing of coastal dynamics. Journal of Coastal Research, 32(5), 1087-1105.
- Longo, S., et al. (2020). Assessment of cliff-top and beach volumes using UAV photogrammetry: A case study in north Norfolk, UK. Remote Sensing, 12(1), 74.
- Meinen, B. U., & Robinson, D. T. (2020). Remote Sensing of Environment Mapping erosion and deposition in an agricultural landscape: Optimization of UAV image acquisition schemes for SfM-MVS. Remote Sensing of Environment
- Mendoza, E. T., et al. (2017). UAV-based coastal monitoring of cliff erosion. ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 4(1), 189-196.
- Michallet, H., Bujan, S., & Boudaud, M. (2007). Grain size selection on a beach face under wind and wave conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 112(F3), F03010. doi: 10.1029/2006JF000618
- Mills, K. E., et al. (2019). Fisheries management in a changing climate: Lessons from the 2012 ocean heat wave in the Northwest Atlantic. Oceanography, 32(2), 144-153.

- Mokhtar, N. M., Darwin, N., Ariff, M. F. M., Majid, Z., & Idris, K. M. (2019). The Capabilities of Unmanned Aerial Vehicle for Slope Classification. International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives, 451–459.
- N. Apostolopoulos, D., & G. Nikolakopoulos, K. (2020). Assessment and quantification of the accuracy of low-and high-resolution remote sensing data for shoreline monitoring. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 9(6), 391.
- Naharudin, M.N., Yaacob, S., & Abdullah, R. (2018). Aerial photogrammetry for coastal erosion monitoring using unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 13(3), 781-789.
- Nan, W., et al. (2018). High Altitude Long Endurance UAVs: A Review. Journal of Aerospace Engineering, 31(2), 04018096."
- Nicholls, R. J., et al. (2018). Sea-level rise and its possible impacts given a 'beyond 4°C world' in the twenty-first century. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 376(2121), 20160448.
- Nicholls, R. J., Hutton, C. W., Lazar, A. N., Rice, S. P., & Suckall, N. (2018). The need for a long-term perspective in managing coastal erosion. Journal of Environmental Management, 213, 409-417.
- Nizam, Y. A., Asmida, I., Omar, K., Ramli, M. F., Rozaimi, M. H., & Khalil, M. (2020). Shoreline changes of the severely eroded coast of Pantai Punggur, Batu Pahat. Journal of Physical Science and Engineering, 1(1), 1-10.
- Nizam, Y. A., Rahman, M. M., & Hossain, M. A. (2015). Dynamics of shoreline changes along the Teknaf coast of Bangladesh using multi-temporal satellite images. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 19(5), 621-630.
- Nizam, Y., Shahid, S. H., Zaidi, S. S. A., & Rahman, S. U. (2015). Shoreline change detection using remote sensing and GIS: A case study of the Karachi coast. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 19(6), 829-840.
- Obu, J., Lantuit, H., Grosse, G., Günther, F., Sachs, T., Helm, V., & Fritz, M. (2017). Coastal erosion and mass wasting along the Canadian Beaufort Sea based on annual airborne LiDAR elevation data. Geomorphology, 331–346.
- Olabode, O. S., Ojewumi, M. E., Olabode, A. S., Adebayo, A. B., & Olaleye, J. A. (2020). Quantifying coastal erosion and shoreline change in Lagos, southwestern Nigeria. Journal of Coastal Research, 36(5), 923-930.

- Paladini de Mello, N., et al. (2021). Comparison of UAV photogrammetry and GPS survey in assessing beach morphodynamics. Journal of Coastal Research, 37(3), 631-639.
- Papakonstantinou, A., Topouzelis, K., & Pavlogeorgatos, G. (2016). Coastline Zones Identification and 3D Coastal Mapping Using UAV Spatial Data. 1–14.
- Pendleton, L., et al. (2020). Estimating global coastal protection benefits: A combined dynamic analysis and ecosystem service approach. Coastal Management, 48(3), 206-231.
- Pereira, D. I., Siegle, E., Santos, C. M. D., & Costa, A. L. D. (2018). Coastal erosion and anthropogenic interventions in Brazil: A review. Ocean & Coastal Management, 153, 129-140.
- Qi, Z., Xu, Y., Li, J., Xu, Y., & Zhang, B. (2020). Multi-sensor system for UAVs: A review. Remote Sensing, 12(15), 2374.
- Quadrado, G. P., Dillenburg, S. R., Goulart, E. S., & Barboza, E. G. (2021). Historical and geological assessment of shoreline changes at an urbanized embayed sandy system in Garopaba, Southern Brazil. Regional Studies in Marine Science, 42, 101622.
- Rahman, A. A., et al. (2017). A review of coastal erosion issues in Malaysia with emphasis on management strategies. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 114(2), 1020-1030.
- Rahman, M. A., et al. (2017). Assessment of coastal zone changes due to climate change impacts using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of the Teknaf Peninsula, Bangladesh. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 6(10), 300.
- Rau, J. L., et al. (2019). Morphological changes of sandy beaches using UAV: Multitemporal aerial images and Lidar data fusion. Remote Sensing, 11(9), 1013.
- Rosenqvist, A., et al. (2016). A review of remote sensing technology in support of the management of coastal areas. Remote Sensing, 8(10), 835.
- Sahu, A., Pandey, P. C., & Pandey, R. K. (2022). Mapping and monitoring coastal geomorphological features using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A review. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 26(1), 1-14.
- Santos, F., et al. (2020). Coastal cliff erosion assessment using UAV surveys and LiDAR data: A case study in Portugal. Remote Sensing, 12(8), 1279.

Selamat, A. H., Sabri, A. R., & Ghani, A. A. A. (2019). Coastline and shoreline

demarcation on images and maps: A review. International Journal of GEOMATE, 16(56), 45-53.

- Shahbudin, S. S., et al. (2020). Evaluation of coastal erosion and its potential impact on coastal development in the northern coast of Penang Island, Malaysia. Environmental Earth Sciences, 79(7), 1-16.
- Sharma, A., Singh, A. K., & Singh, B. (2018). Review on applications of unmanned aerial vehicles. Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, 13(12), 3879-3892.
- Sheahan, T. C., & DeGroot, D. J. (1997). Laboratory determination of coastal sediment mechanical properties. Marine georesources & geotechnology, 15(3), 231-252.
- Shenbagaraj, N., Senthil Kumar, K., Rasheed, A. M., Leostalin, J., & Kumar, M. N. (2021). Mapping and Electronic Publishing of Shoreline Changes using UAV Remote Sensing and GIS. Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing, 49, 1769-1777.
- Silva, R. A., et al. (2018). Low-cost UAV photogrammetry for topographic monitoring of coastal areas. Journal of Coastal Research, 85(sp1), 118-122.
- Silveira, L., Costa, D., Moura, R., & Vila-Concejo, A. (2021). Understanding coastal erosion: Processes and morphological responses. Geomorphology, 384, 107974.
- Smith, A., et al. (2019). Micro UAVs for Aerial Imaging and Reconnaissance: A Comparative Analysis. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 40(7), 1520-1534
- Smith, J. R., et al. (2021). Application of unmanned aerial vehicles for coastal monitoring and management. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 258, 107312.
- Smith, M. J., et al. (2018). Coastal Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) for Flood Hazard Assessment: A Comparative Analysis. Geomorphology, 314, 103-120.
- Smith, S. J., & Popescu, S. C. (2019). Airborne LiDAR for the detection of coastal change: A review of applications and challenges. Remote Sensing, 11(8), 926.
- Smith, T., Johnson, M., & Williams, S. (2018). Assessing the viability of LIDAR for coastal erosion monitoring. Coastal Engineering, 135, 57-65.
- Song, Y., et al. (2017). UAV-based remote sensing of the beach topography and vegetation coverage on the Frisian islands, the Netherlands. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 59, 18-31.

- Stroner, M., Urban, R., Reindl, T., Seidl, J., & Brouček, J. (2020). Evaluation of the georeferencing accuracy of a photogrammetric model using a quadcopter with on-board GNSS RTK. Sensors, 20(8), 2318.
- Syvitski, J. P., Kettner, A. J., Overeem, I., Hutton, E. W., Hannon, M. T., Brakenridge, G. R., ... & Nicholls, R. J. (2019). Sinking deltas due to human activities. Nature Geoscience, 2(10), 681-686. doi: 10.1038/ngeo629
- Tan, Y. P., Taib, N., & Mohamed, M. (2020). Quantifying shoreline changes in the state of Selangor, Malaysia using satellite remote sensing and geographic information system (GIS) techniques. Journal of Coastal Research, 95(sp1), 377-381.
- Teixeira, C. S., et al. (2021). Monitoring coastal erosion with drones: An integrated approach for accurate topographic measurements. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 98, 102408.
- Torres, R., et al. (2020). Review of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) applications in coastal environments. Remote Sensing, 12(17), 2807.
- Toure, S., Diop, O., Kpalma, K., & Maiga, A. S. (2019). Shoreline detection using optical remote sensing: A review. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 8(2), 75.
- Turner, D., Lucieer, A., & Watson, C. (2016). An automated technique for generating georectified mosaics from ultra-high resolution unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) imagery, based on structure from motion (SfM) point clouds. Remote Sensing, 8(12), 1041.
- Wan Mohd Jaafar, W. N. A., Din, N. M., & Ahmad, N. (2020). Challenges of coastal management in Malaysia: A review. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 22(4), 3289-3312.
- Wan Mohtar, W. H. M., Nawang, S. A. B., Abdul Maulud, K. N., Benson, Y. A., & Azhary, W. A. H. W. M. Textural Characteristics and Sedimentary Environment of Sediment at Eroded and Deposited Regions in the Severely Eroded Coastline of Batu Pahat, Malaysia. Science of the Total Environment, 598, (2017). pp. 525–537.
- Wang, H., et al. (2020). Beach topography monitoring using UAV and LIDAR: A comparison of data accuracy and costs. Journal of Coastal Research, 36(1), 154-163.

Wang, L., et al. (2018). Unmanned aerial vehicle-based remote sensing for monitoring

estuarine water quality parameters. Remote Sensing, 10(9), 1344.

- Alberico, I., Casalbore, D., Pelosi, N., Tonielli, R., Calidonna, C., Dominici, R., & De Rosa, R. (2022). Remote Sensing and Field Survey Data Integration to Investigate on the Evolution of the Coastal Area: The Case Study of Bagnara Calabra (Southern Italy). Remote Sensing, 14(10), 2459.
- Ali, K. A., & Ghaffar, I. B. (2018). Coastal erosion assessment using remote sensing and GIS techniques: A case study of Kuala Terengganu coastal areas. Sains Malaysiana, 47(7), 1613-1621.
- Alkhalidi, J., Lee, H. J., & Lee, W. (2019). Evaluation of photogrammetry and airborne light detecaltion and ranging for shoreline change analysis. Ocean Engineering, 172, 126-135.
- Alkhalidi, M., Ariffin, J., Omar, K. M., Alfarraj, S. A., & Khandaker, M. U. (2019). Quantitative assessment of coastal erosion susceptibility using remote sensing data and GIS: A case study of Teluk Kemang, Malaysia. Geocarto International, 34(7), 793-811.
- Alongi, D. M. (2015). The impact of climate change on mangrove forests. Current Climate Change Reports, 1(1), 30-39.
- Anthony, E. J., van der Wal, D., & Trenhaile, A. S. (2021). The dominant role of sealevel rise in Anthropocene coastal erosion: evidence, consequences and prospects. Earth-Science Reviews, 216, 103510.
- Bakhoum, P. W., Ndour, A., Niang, I., Sambou, B., Traore, V. B., Diaw, A. T., Sambou, H., & Ndiaye, M. L. (2017). Coastline mobility of Goree Island (Senegal) from 1942 to 2011. Marine Science, 7(1), 1–9.
- Broomhall, M., Byrne, G., Hay, E., Thankappan, M., & Walsh, A. (2022, July). Validating Landsat and Sentinel-2 Analysis Ready Data with Uav-Mounted Spectrometers. In IGARSS 2022-2022 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (pp. 7775-7778). IEEE.
- Hapke, C. J., & Plant, N. G. (2019). Physical processes of coastal erosion: Past, present, and future. Annual Review of Marine Science, 11, 81-106.
- Ismail, M. H., et al. (2019). Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) for coastal erosion monitoring in Selangor, Malaysia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 286(1), 012040.
- Kaamin, M., Daud, M. E., Sanik, M. E., Ahmad, N. F. A., Mokhtar, M., Ngadiman, N., & Yahya, F. R. (2018, September). Mapping shoreline position using

unmanned aerial vehicle. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2016, No. 1, p. 020063). AIP Publishing LLC.

- Mokhtar, M., Daud, M. E., Kaamin, M., Sabri, M. S., Hayazi, F., Hisham, M. H., ... & Noor, H. M. (2023). Beach Profile and Shoreline Sediment Properties at Eroded Area in Batu Pahat. Construction Technologies and Architecture, 4, 127-137.
- Nizam, A. R., Musta, B., Mohd, N. N., Rosli, S., & Nurul, A. A. (2020). A review on coastal erosion studies in Peninsular Malaysia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 436, 012040.
- Nunziata, F., Buono, A., Migliaccio, M., Benassai, G., & Di Luccio, D. (2018, October). Shoreline erosion of microtidal beaches examined with UAV and remote sensing techniques. In 2018 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for the Sea; Learning to Measure Sea Health Parameters (MetroSea) (pp. 162-166). IEEE.
- Sitnikov, N., Borisov, Y., Akmulin, D., Chekulaev, I., Efremov, D., Sitnikova, V., ... & Popovicheva, O. (2014). Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) in atmospheric research and satellite validation. 40th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, 40, A1-1.
- Wicaksono, A., P., Khakhim, N., Farda, N. M., & Marfai, M. A. (2019, December). Semi-automatic shoreline extraction using water index transformation on Landsat 8 OLI imagery in Jepara Regency. In Sixth International Symposium on LAPAN-IPB Satellite (Vol. 11372, pp. 500-509). SPIE.
- Hapke, C. J., Rosati, J. D., Plant, N. G., Danielson, J. J., & Brenner, O. T. (2020). The United States national assessment of shoreline change: A GIS compilation of vector shorelines and associated shoreline change data for the sandy shorelines of the conterminous United States. Geological IN Open-File Report, 2019-1115, 1-49.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2019). Coastal county population estimates and projections: Methodology.
- Thieler, E.R., Himmelstoss, E.A., Zichichi, J.L., & Ergul, A. (2017). Digital Shoreline Analysis System (DSAS) version 5.0 user guide. US Geological Survey Open-File Report, 2017-1073, 74.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia. (2018). Integrated coastal zone management (ICZM) in Malaysia. Retrieved from

https://www.water.gov.my/jps/resources/auto%20download%20images/874b 636068a28c56e8e07abf81223149.pdf

PLANMalaysia. (2019). Third National Physical Plan: Planning Guidelines for Disaster Resilient City (DRC). Ministry of Housing and Local Government, Malaysia.

VITA

The author was born in September 11, 1996, in Perak, Malaysia. He went to Teluk Intan Science School, Teluk Intan, Perak, Malaysia for his secondary school. He pursued his degree at the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), and graduated with the B.Eng. (Hons) in Civil Engineering in 2020. He then enrolled at the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia in 2020 to pursue his Master of Civil Engineering. During this time, he was a graduate research assistant with Geomatics Lab, where he was funded with Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) Vot K225. He participated in two international conference; International Symposium on Science, Technology and Engineering (ISSTE 2020) and International Conference on Technology, Engineering and Sciences (ICTES 2023). He also served as a Fellow Assistant at Kolej Kediaman Perwira, from 2020 to 2021.

