

A FIXED LENGTH SINGLE SEGMENT SOFT CONTINUUM MANIPULATOR  
FOR MULTI-ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTION

MOHAMED TAHIR SHOANI

A thesis submitted in  
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the  
Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

JULY 2023

To Allah, the benevolent, and to my beloved parents, thank you.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the Almighty God for His benevolence and for granting me wisdom and perseverance not only in the time of research and writing of this thesis but indeed, throughout my life.

I express, with heartfelt appreciation, my gratitude to my parents, who supported me financially and passionately, as well as my family who provided their love and support through the many years of this journey.

I would like to extend my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Mohamed Najib Bin Ribuan for his sincere and invaluable intellectual guidance extended to me throughout the years of my postgraduate studies. I am also indebted to Prof. Ir. Ts. Dr. Ahmad 'Athif Bin Mohd Faudzi for providing me the convenience to approach all resources available in the A2 laboratory. Also, my earnest appreciation goes to the Ministry of Education Malaysia and Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for providing me the opportunity to pursue this study in beloved Malaysia. Last but not least, my unfeigned appreciation goes to all my friends for their unwavering support and who have stood by me through so many tough times.

## ABSTRACT

Inspection of structures is a regular practice in many industries to determine the condition of the structure in terms of safety and performance. Traditionally, inspection operations were performed by qualified personnel to evaluate the condition of the structure and to determine locations requiring maintenance. However, manual inspection is costly, time-consuming, and may endanger the lives of the inspectors especially in radioactive and poisonous environments. Alternatively, robotic inspection provides a faster, safer, and more cost-effective alternative to human inspection; however, robots are usually limited in their operational domains. Drones and other airborne vehicles are designed for aerial operation, while underwater robots are designed to inspect submerged structures. Additionally, drones, water-surface, and underwater robots are all constrained by their tether or onboard power source. In this work, a teleoperated soft continuum manipulator is implemented, capable of inspecting tall, submerged, and partially submerged structures for prolonged time periods. The manipulator consists of a suspended flexible arm, a part capable of sliding along the arm (referred to as an Arm Constrainer and Tendon Router 'ACTR'), and a rotatable upper base. Tendon actuation is used to provide high motion resolution as well as the ability to locate the driving motors remotely with respect to the actuated parts (arm and ACTR). Thus, allowing the manipulator arm to be placed in different environments without affecting the actuators or controlling circuitry. The results of the lab experiments illustrate the manipulator's ability to inspect tall structures with sub-millimeter vertical motion resolution. The experimental results also validated the manipulator's ability to inspect the different parts (above and below water) of a partially submerged tall structure, represented by an Aluminum pole. Additionally, the manipulator's modular design facilitates the replacement of its arm with another of different material and geometry, allowing it to be used in different environments. In comparison to soft continuum robots and manipulators (SCRaMs), this manipulator employs fewer actuators than multi-segment SCRaMs and covers a larger workspace than fixed-length single-segment SCRaMs.

## ABSTRAK

Pemeriksaan struktur merupakan amalan biasa yang dilaksanakan di pelbagai industri bagi menentukan tahap keselamatan dan keupayaan struktur. Pemeriksaan robotik menyediakan kaedah yang lebih pantas, selamat dan menjimatkan kos sebagai alternatif kepada pemeriksaan yang dilakukan oleh individu; walau bagaimanapun, robot biasanya terhad kepada ruang domain operasi. Dron dan kenderaan udara direka untuk operasi pemeriksaan struktur tinggi, manakala robot bawah air direka untuk memeriksa struktur di dalam air. Selain itu, dron, robot terapung dan robot bawah air semuanya dikekang oleh penambat dan/ atau sumber kuasa dari kapal mereka. Dalam kajian ini, pemanipulasi kontinum lembut kawalan jauh digunakan, ianya mampu memeriksa struktur yang tinggi, kedalaman, struktur yang tenggelam dan separa tenggelam untuk tempoh masa yang panjang. Pemanipulasi ini terdiri daripada lengan fleksibel yang tergantung, bahagian yang mampu meluncur di sepanjang lengan (dirujuk sebagai ACTR), dan tapak atas yang boleh diputar. Sistem penggerakan tendon digunakan untuk memberikan resolusi gerakan yang tinggi serta kebolehan untuk menempatkan motor pemanduan dari jauh berdasarkan dari bahagian yang digerakkan (lengan dan ACTR). Oleh itu, lengan pemanipulasi dapat diletakkan dalam persekitaran yang berbeza tanpa menjejaskan penggerak atau litar kawalan. Keputusan eksperimen makmal menggambarkan keupayaan pemanipulasi untuk memeriksa struktur tinggi dengan resolusi gerakan menegak dalam sub-milimeter. Keputusan eksperimen juga mengesahkan keupayaan pemanipulasi untuk memeriksa bahagian yang berbeza (di atas dan di bawah air) struktur tinggi separa tenggelam, yang diwakili oleh tiang Aluminium. Tambahan lagi, reka bentuk pemanipulasi secara modul memudahkan penggantian lengan dengan bahan dan geometri yang berlainan membolehkan ia digunakan dalam persekitaran yang berbeza. Berbanding dengan robot dan pemanipulasi kontinum lembut (SCRaM), pemanipulasi ini menggunakan sedikit penggerak berbanding SCRaM berbilang segmen dan mencakupi ruang kerja yang lebih besar berbanding SCRaM segmen tunggal dengan panjang yang tetap.

## CONTENTS

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xx</b>
 <b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1. Background of the study	1
1.2. Problem Statement	4
1.3. Research Objectives	5
1.4. Scope and limitations	6
1.5. Contributions to Knowledge	7
1.6. Thesis Outline	8
 <b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1. Overview	9

2.2. Rigid Robots & Manipulators	11
2.2.1. Mobile RRaM	12
2.2.1.1. Terrestrial MRRaM	12
2.2.1.2. Aerial MRRaM	15
2.2.1.3. Aquatic MRRaM	16
2.2.2. Stationary RRaM	20
2.3. Soft Robots & Manipulators	21
2.3.1. Mobile SRaM	21
2.3.2. Stationary SRaM	26
2.3.2.1. SCRaMs	27
2.3.2.1.A. Single-Segment SCRaMs	29
2.3.2.1.A.1. Fixed Length SS-SCRaMs	29
2.3.2.1.A.2. Variable Length SS-SCRaMs	30
2.3.2.1.A.2.a. Extendable VLSS-SCRaMs	30
2.3.2.1.A.2.b. Retractable VLSS-SCRaMs	32
2.4. Summary	34

### **CHAPTER 3 DESIGN AND FABRICATION**

3.1. Overview	40
3.2. Manipulator Design	43
3.2.1. Principal Design	43
3.2.1.1. General Design Guidelines	43
3.2.1.2. Design Basis	45
3.2.1.3. Design Concept	47
3.2.1.4. Actuator Reduction	50
3.2.2 CAD Model	52
3.2.2.1. Top Section	54
3.2.2.2. Middle Section	56
3.2.2.3. Lower Section	57
3.2.2.3.A. The Flexible Arm	57
3.2.2.3.B. The ACTR	58
3.2.2.3.C. Full Lower Section	59
3.2.2.4. Full Manipulator Assembly	60

3.3. Manipulator Fabrication	60
3.3.1. Parts Preparation	62
3.3.1.1. OTS Components	62
3.3.1.2. Parts Redesign	66
3.3.1.2.A. Top Section	66
3.3.1.2.B. Middle Section	68
3.3.1.2.C. Lower Section	69
3.3.1.2.C.1. Tip Binder	70
3.3.1.2.C.2. ACTR	71
3.3.1.2.C.3. Base Binder	74
3.3.1.2.C.4. Add-Ons	74
3.3.1.2.C.5. Arm Assembly	75
3.3.1.2.D. Full Manipulator	76
3.3.1.3. Parts Testing	76
3.3.1.3.A. Upper-Section Main Base	79
3.3.1.3.B. Support Bracket	81
3.3.1.3.C. Coupler	82
3.3.1.3.D. Coupler Jacket	83
3.3.1.3.E. Middle Section Base	85
3.3.1.3.F. L-Shaped Brackets	86
3.3.1.4. Parts Fabrication	88
3.3.2. Manipulator Production	90
3.3.2.1. Manipulator Assembly	90
3.3.2.2. Routing & Connections	91
3.3.3. Manipulator Operation	95
3.3.3.1. Working Principle	95
3.3.3.2. The Control Strategy	96
3.3.3.3. The Control Interface	97
3.3.3.4. The Control Procedure	98
3.4. Summary	100

## CHAPTER 4    VALIDATION AND ANALYSIS

4.1. Overview	102
---------------	-----



4.2. Validation	103
4.2.1. Functionality	103
4.2.1.1. Tall-Structure Inspection	104
4.2.1.1.A. Experiment Setup	104
4.2.1.1.B. Experiment Procedure	105
4.2.1.1.C. Results	106
4.2.1.1.D. Discussion	106
4.2.1.2. In-Water Inspection	108
4.2.1.2.A. Experiment Setup	108
4.2.1.2.B. Experiment Procedure	108
4.2.1.2.C. Results	109
4.2.1.2.D. Discussion	110
4.2.2. Performance	110
4.2.2.1. Practical Workspace	112
4.2.2.1.A. Experiment Setup	112
4.2.2.1.B. Experiment Procedure	112
4.2.2.1.C. Results	114
4.2.2.1.D. Discussion	115
4.2.2.2. Motion Resolution	116
4.2.2.2.A. Experiment Setup	116
4.2.2.2.B. Experiment Procedure	117
4.2.2.2.C. Results	118
4.2.2.2.D. Discussion	118
4.3. Analysis	121
4.3.1. Design Assessment	121
4.3.1.1. Design Requirement Adherence	121
4.3.1.2. Further Expectations	122
4.3.2. Objectives Fulfillment	124
4.3.2.1. First Objective	124
4.3.2.2. Second Objective	125
4.3.2.3. Third Objective	125
4.3.3. Results Comparison	125
4.3.3.1. Inspection Functionality	126

4.3.3.1.A. Performance	126
4.3.3.1.B. Workspace	127
4.4. Summary	128

## **CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION**

5.1. Overview	129
5.2. Future Work	131

<b>REFERENCES</b>	133
-------------------	-----

<b>APPENDICES</b>	148
-------------------	-----



## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	A summary of the strengths and limitations of rigid and soft robots and manipulators.	18
2.2	A summary of the strengths and limitations of mobile soft robots and manipulators (SRaMs).	25
2.3	A summary of the strengths and limitations of stationary soft continuum robots and manipulators (SCRaMs).	35
2.4	A summary of the strengths and limitations of rigid and soft robots and manipulators	38
3.1	A list of the OTS parts used in the manipulator	65
3.2	The connections from the microcontroller to the driver board of the top motor, responsible for rotating the manipulator	92
3.3	The connections from the microcontroller to the driver board of the motors responsible for bending the arm and relocating the ACTR	92
3.4	The high-level instructions used to control the manipulator's rotation through the first Arduino microcontroller	98
3.5	The high-level instructions used to control the arm and ACTR through the second Arduino microcontroller	99
4.1	Comparison of the manipulator's attributes to the design requirements.	121

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Robots in inspection operations.	2
1.2	Stationary robots and manipulators.	2
1.3	Robots in exploration and inspection.	3
2.1	Robots in different areas of life.	10
2.2	Robots in exploration and inspection.	11
2.3	A pole climbing robot.	12
2.4	A magnetic climbing robot.	13
2.5	A propeller-push wall climbing robot.	14
2.6	A suction-cup wall climbing robot.	14
2.7	Two aerial MRaMs.	15
2.8	Two examples of unmanned surface vehicles.	16
2.9	An autonomous underwater vehicle.	17
2.10	Stationary RRs.	20
2.11	Terrestrial mobile SRs.	22
2.12	Aerial mobile SRs.	23
2.13	Underwater mobile SRs.	24
2.14	Soft continuum robots and manipulators uses.	26
2.15	Two SCRs.	28
2.16	A catheter using tracks to move the SCR forward.	31
2.17	An everting type of EVLSS-SCRs.	31
2.18	An EVLSS-SCRs elongating through actuator extension.	32
2.19	Two RVLSS-SCRs.	33
2.20	RVLSS-SCRs with hollow channel bodies.	34
3.1	The steps to implement the manipulator.	41
3.2	The hierarchy of chapter 3.	41

3.3	A conventional single-segment SCRoM employing a linear actuator.	45
3.4	Different actuator attachment points create different bending segment lengths.	47
3.5	Adding a rotary actuator at the manipulator's base results in a volumetric workspace.	47
3.6	Soft continuum manipulator design based on the concept and selected configuration.	48
3.7	Optimized manipulator design. The manipulator is suspended, and the motor pulling the manipulator's tip is located at the base of the manipulator.	49
3.8	Steps to reduce the number of actuators in multi-segment SCRaMs.	50
3.9	Stress pattern in a relatively thick arm.	53
3.10	The three parts of the upper section.	54
3.11	The assembled configuration of the top section.	54
3.12	The exploded view of the upper section.	54
3.13	The design of the middle section.	55
3.14	The exploded view of the middle section.	56
3.15	The design of the manipulator's flexible arm and its two binders.	57
3.16	The design of the ACTR supporting two tendons.	58
3.17	The full lower section assembly.	59
3.18	The full manipulator assembly.	60
3.19	An exploded view of the fully assembled manipulator.	60
3.20	The manipulator arm during fabrication.	62
3.21	The fishing line used as tendons to actuate the manipulator.	62
3.22	Motor types.	63

3.23	The Arduino Uno microcontroller.	64
3.24	Bearing types.	64
3.25	The upper section with the required OTS components.	66
3.26	The coupler jacket.	67
3.27	The modified design of the upper section with the added support bracket and underneath thrust bearing.	67
3.28	The middle section with the required OTS components.	68
3.29	The middle section with the additional bread board.	69
3.30	The modified design of the tip binder.	69
3.31	The further updated design of the tip binder.	70
3.32	The additional components of the ACTR.	72
3.33	The updated design of the ACTR containing tendon tighteners, an LED, and a laser emitter.	72
3.34	The updated design of the base binder.	73
3.35	An add-on to hold a camera and laser emitter; used with the tip binder.	74
3.36	An add-on to constrain the camera and other cables at the ACTR.	74
3.37	The updated design of the lower section.	75
3.38	The updated design of the lower section.	76
3.39	The stress analysis of the main base at the upper section.	79
3.40	The stress analysis of the support bracket.	80
3.41	The stress analysis of the coupler.	82
3.42	The stress analysis of the coupler jacket.	83
3.43	The stress analysis of the base at the middle section.	85
3.44	The stress analysis of the L-Shaped brackets.	86

3.45	The 3D print fabrication of the upper section parts.	87
3.46	The 3D print fabrication of the middle section parts.	88
3.47	The 3D print fabrication of the lower section parts.	88
3.48	The assembled sections of the manipulator.	90
3.49	The assembled manipulator.	91
3.50	The flow of power and control signals in the manipulator.	92
3.51	The friction-based mechanism for affixing the tendon to the spool at the shaft of the stepper motor.	93
3.52	The friction-based mechanism for affixing the tendon to the tendon tighteners at the ACTR and arm's tip.	94
3.53	Steps to position the manipulator's tip at any desired point.	95
3.54	The flow of commands from the computer to the manipulator's actuators.	95
3.55	The Arduino's IDE (Left) and serial monitor (Right).	96
3.56	The Arduino's serial monitor window showing the result of the inserted command.	97
3.57	The flow of commands from the computer to the actuators.	98
4.1	The hierarchy of chapter four.	102
4.2	The setup of the tall structure inspection experiment.	104
4.3	The setup of the in-water inspection experiment.	106
4.4	Experiment setup.	108
4.5	Motion-Resolution experiment setup.	110
4.6	Images of the inspected locations and manipulator postures for different ACTR positions.	112

4.7	Images of the inspected locations for different ACTR locations.	113
4.8	The planar trajectory of the manipulator's tip, obtained from the practical experiment.	114
4.9	The emerging workspace from rotating the trajectories about the Y-axis.	116
4.10	Images showing the manipulator's vertical motion resolution	118
4.11	Images showing the manipulator's horizontal motion resolution.	119





## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

3D	–	Three Dimensional
$a$	–	The minor radius ( <i>width</i> ) of the prolate spheroid.
ABS	–	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
ACTR	–	Arm Constrainer and Tendon Router
AMRRaMs	–	Aquatic Mobile Rigid Robots and Manipulators
$A_{ps}$	–	The surface area of the prolate spheroid.
AUV	–	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle
BRC	–	Bar Reinforced Concrete
$c$	–	The major radius ( <i>height</i> ) of the prolate spheroid.
CAD	–	Computer Aided Design
CCW	–	Counter-Clockwise
CW	–	Clockwise
DC	–	Direct Current
DEA	–	Dielectric Elastomer Actuators
EVLSS- SCRaMs	–	Extendable Variable-Length Single-Segment Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators
FEA	–	Finite Element Analysis
FLSS SCRoM	–	Fixed-Length Single-Segment Soft Continuum Robots or Manipulators
FLSS-SCM	–	Fixed-Length, Single-Segment, Soft Continuum Manipulator
FLSS- SCRaMs	–	Fixed-Length Single-Segment Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators
IDE	–	Integrated Development Environment
LED	–	Light Emitting Diode
MRRaMs	–	Mobile Rigid Robots and Manipulators

OTS	–	Off-The-Shelf
PC	–	Personal Computer
$r_h$	–	The height ( <i>vertical radius</i> ) of the workspace.
ROV	–	Remotely Operated Vehicle
RRaM	–	Rigid Robots and Manipulators
RVLSS- SCRaMs	–	Retractable Variable-Length Single-Segment Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators
$r_w$	–	The width ( <i>horizontal radius</i> ) of the workspace.
SAP	–	Second Attachment Point
SCARA	–	Selective Compliance Assembly Robot Arm
SCR	–	Soft Continuum Robots
SCRaM	–	Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators
SCRoM	–	Soft Continuum Robots or Manipulators
SMA	–	Shape Memory Alloy
SRaM	–	Soft Robots and Manipulators
SRRaMs	–	Stationary Rigid Robots and Manipulators
SS- SCRaMs	–	Single-Segment Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators
USV	–	Unmanned Surface Vehicles
UUV	–	Unmanned Underwater Vehicle
VLSS- SCRaMs	–	Variable-Length Single-Segment Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators
$V_{ps}$	–	The volume of the prolate spheroid.

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Sketch (Program) for Arduino-1	145
B	Sketch (Program) for Arduino-2	149
C	List of Publications	155
D	VITA	159



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Background of the study

Inspection operations were conducted by humans since they started life on earth. From inspecting their early tools to ensure their effectiveness to the caves they used for shelter, inspection was part of the daily life activities of early humans.

The operation of inspection continued throughout history, with the invention of new tools to better inspect the world around us. From early telescopes to microscopes, inspection devices, and tools helped humans in the discovery of new frontiers in science and technology. As humanity progressed and new tools were invented, the industry started an increasing trend of shifting from human-based to machine-based inspection. This shift is largely due to the ability of robots to reach difficult locations, or withstand extreme environmental conditions, thus relieving the stress and difficulty of conducting inspection operations by qualified personnel. In today's world, robots are used in architecture to inspect tall structures or used underwater for inspecting submerged objects. Figure 1.1 shows robots used for inspecting tall towers and underwater structures.

However, robotic inspection operations are limited by the ability of the machines performing these operations. Mobile robots inspecting tall structures are limited by their fuel or battery life, as well as surrounding conditions at the inspection site, such as extreme temperatures or excessive radiation. Additionally, robots inspecting underwater objects are limited by the depth they can reach, and the length of their operation time.



Figure 1.1: Robots in inspection operations. a) Drone [1]. b) Underwater robot. [2].

Stationary robots and manipulators, such as the ones shown in Figure 1.2 do not use batteries, hence have an unlimited operation time. However, these robots and manipulators have a limited reach and are mostly suitable for inspecting objects in their vicinity. Scaling up rigid robots and manipulators to reach and inspect large structures increases their weight and energy requirements, making them costly, and difficult to relocate to different sites.



Figure 1.2: Stationary robots and manipulators. a) A robot for inspecting items [3]. b) Industrial manipulators [4].

Soft continuum robots and manipulators (SCRaMs) have elongated flexible bodies and can extend to cover large areas as shown in Figure 1.3(a). However, these are suitable for the exploration and inspection of ground-based objects and landscapes. Vertically aligned SCRaMs, such as the one shown in Figure 1.3(b) can be used for inspecting tall structures. However, their body's thick cross-section limits their

curvature angle and makes it difficult to reach and inspect all locations within a tall structure. Additionally, some of the actuators used by SCRaMs either have low accuracy, such as fluidic and shape memory alloy (SMA) actuators or employ high voltages to operate, such as dielectric elastomer actuators (DEA), making them impractical for field operations.



(a)



(b)

Figure 1.3: Robots in exploration and inspection. a) An extendable soft continuum robot [5]. b) A soft continuum manipulator [6].

To solve these problems, an inspection robot or manipulator is required for the purpose of inspecting tall and partially submerged structures, as well as withstanding extreme conditions, such as radiation, pressure, and temperatures. The designed robot or manipulator should address the constraints of current systems in terms of tethering and on-board power limitations.

This work presents the design, fabrication, and testing of a teleoperated manipulator that can be easily rescaled to inspect taller structures, deeper waters, and withstand different environmental conditions while having a relatively high motion accuracy. Additionally, the manipulator has the ability to perform specific operations on any desired location through end effectors located at its tip.



## 1.2. Problem Statement

Teleoperated robots and manipulators are devices that are controlled by a human user to perform one or more tasks. Many types of teleoperated robots are used for inspection operations. Some are intended for land structures and others for underwater environments. Although all of these robots are designed to perform their tasks efficiently, each type has certain limitations making it unsuitable for inspecting tall and partially submerged structures for prolonged periods of time.

Teleoperated robots used for the inspection of tall structures on land conduct their operation by one of several methods, such as cable suspension, climbing the structure's walls, or flying about the structure [7]. However, these approaches are constrained by several factors, such as the limited operation time dictated by the robot's power source, as well as environmental conditions such as temperature and radiation. Teleoperated robots intended for underwater exploration are limited by the depth they can reach and the length of their operation time. These factors constrain their ability to perform inspection operations for prolonged periods or reach further and deeper locations [8]. Additionally, teleoperated robots designed for inspecting terrestrial structures are generally unsuitable for underwater operation, and vice versa. This results in requiring two robots or vehicles for inspecting partially submerged structures, such as offshore wind turbines, oil rigs, bridge pylons, and others.

Soft continuum robots and manipulators can be used to overcome the aforementioned constraints but suffer from different limitations. Horizontally aligned SCRaMs, such as [5] and [9] are suitable for exploring surface objects and structures but are unsuited for inspecting tall structures. SCRaMs, such as [6], [10], and [11] can be used for inspecting tall structures, however, the fluidic and SMA actuators used by [6] and [10] are difficult to achieve precise control, and the DEA actuator employed by [11] requires a high operating voltage making it dangerous to deploy in the field. SCRaMs employing tendon actuation, on the other hand, such as [12] and [13] have higher accuracy and are safe to be used in the field, however, the SCRaM's thick cross-section makes it difficult to reach and inspect all locations of the tall structure. Although SCRaMs, such as [14], [15], and [16] attempt to overcome this problem

using a multi-segmented body, they employ numerous actuators, and are thus more costly and complicated to control.

To summarize, the problem is the absence of a robotic system that can be used to inspect tall and partially submerged structures for prolonged periods of time. Mobile robots suffer from the limitation of onboard power sources, stationary rigid manipulators are unsuitable for inspecting tall structures, while stationary soft robots and manipulators are not optimized for inspecting tall and partially submerged structures.

Thus, the aim of this work is to overcome the limitations of current robots and manipulators for structural inspection through the development of a robotic system capable of inspecting tall and partially submerged structures, with a relatively high motion resolution, and in different environmental conditions.

### **1.3. Research Objectives**

Based on the research aims, this work seeks to accomplish the following objectives:

1. To design a teleoperated soft manipulator for inspecting tall and partially submerged structures (such as chimneys and offshore wind turbines).
2. To develop the manipulator through fabrication and assembly. Fabrication of the designed parts through 3D printing, and assembly of these parts with off-the-shelf components to produce the full manipulator.
3. To validate the manipulator's functionality through its ability to inspect tall structures and underwater objects.



## REFERENCES

- [1] “A drone for inspecting an Industrial Facility.” csengineermag.com, 2022. [Online]. Available: [https://i2.wp.com/csengineermag.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/AdobeStock\\_222739489.jpeg?fit=700%2C700&ssl=1](https://i2.wp.com/csengineermag.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/AdobeStock_222739489.jpeg?fit=700%2C700&ssl=1)
- [2] “An underwater inspection robot.” Wikimedia Commons, 2022. [Online]. Available: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:DTG2\\_ROV\\_Performing\\_Infrastructure\\_Inspection\\_.jpg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:DTG2_ROV_Performing_Infrastructure_Inspection_.jpg)
- [3] “Industrial robot arm.” Flickr, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.flickr.com/photos/maltman23/15303786823>
- [4] “KUKA Industrial Robots.” Wikimedia Commons, 2022. [Online]. Available: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:KUKA\\_Industrial\\_Robots\\_IR.jpg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:KUKA_Industrial_Robots_IR.jpg)
- [5] P. Li, Y. Zhang, G. Zhang, D. Zhou, and L. Li, “A Bioinspired Soft Robot Combining the Growth Adaptability of Vine Plants with a Coordinated Control System,” *Research*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–8, 2021, doi: 10.34133/2021/9843859.
- [6] X. Chen, X. Zhang, H. Liu, and Y. Huang, “Design and development of a soft robotic manipulator,” *Int. J. Mech. Mater. Des.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 309–321, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10999-019-09471-z.
- [7] V. Sudevan, A. Shukla, and H. Karki, “Inspection of vertical structures in oil and gas industry: A review of current scenario and future trends,” in *RDPETRO 2018: Research and Development Petroleum Conference and Exhibition, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 9-10 May 2018*, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, European Association of Geoscientists and Engineers, and Society of Petroleum Engineers, Jun. 2018, pp. 65–68. doi: 10.1190/RDP2018-41952188.1.
- [8] L. Yu *et al.*, “Inspection robots in oil and gas industry: A review of current

- solutions and future trends,” in *ICAC 2019 - 2019 25th IEEE International Conference on Automation and Computing*, Chinese Automation and Computing Society in the UK - CACSUK, 2019, pp. 5–7. doi: 10.23919/IconAC.2019.8895089.
- [9] E. W. Hawkes, L. H. Blumenschein, J. D. Greer, and A. M. Okamura, “A soft robot that navigates its environment through growth,” *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 1–8, 2017, doi: 10.1126/scirobotics.aan3028.
- [10] C. Cheng, J. Cheng, and W. Huang, “Design and Development of a Novel SMA Actuated Multi-DOF Soft Robot,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 75073–75080, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2920632.
- [11] Z. Xing, J. Zhang, D. McCoul, Y. Cui, L. Sun, and J. Zhao, “A Super-Lightweight and Soft Manipulator Driven by Dielectric Elastomers,” *Soft Robot.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 512–520, 2020, doi: 10.1089/soro.2018.0134.
- [12] J. Zhu, H. Wang, W. Chen, and L. Xie, “The three-dimensional shape control for a soft robot,” *IEEE Int. Conf. Control Autom. ICCA*, pp. 385–390, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICCA.2017.8003091.
- [13] G. Gao, H. Wang, J. Liu, and Y. Zheng, “Statics analysis of an extensible continuum manipulator with large deflection,” *Mech. Mach. Theory*, vol. 141, pp. 245–266, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2019.07.015.
- [14] H. Pan, G. Chen, Y. Kang, and H. Wang, “Design and Kinematic Analysis of a Flexible-Link Parallel Mechanism With a Spatially Quasi-Translational End Effector,” *J. Mech. Robot.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2021, doi: 10.1115/1.4048754.
- [15] Q. Zhao, J. Lai, K. Huang, X. Hu, and H. K. Chu, “Shape Estimation and Control of a Soft Continuum Robot Under External Payloads,” pp. 1–12, 2021.
- [16] J. Li, X. Chen, Y. Su, W. Wang, J. Lam, and Z. Wang, “Kinematic Analysis of Soft Continuum Manipulators Based on Sparse Workspace Mapping,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 5055–5062, 2022, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2022.3154007.
- [17] J. E. Bernth, A. Arezzo, and H. Liu, “A novel robotic meshworm with segment-bending anchoring for colonoscopy,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1718–1724, 2017, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2017.2678540.
- [18] L. Zorn *et al.*, “A Novel Telemanipulated Robotic Assistant for Surgical

- Endoscopy: Preclinical Application to ESD,” *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 797–808, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TBME.2017.2720739.
- [19] Z. Mitros, B. Thamo, C. Bergeles, L. da Cruz, K. Dhaliwal, and M. Khadem, “Design and Modelling of a Continuum Robot for Distal Lung Sampling in Mechanically Ventilated Patients in Critical Care,” *Front. Robot. AI*, vol. 8, no. May, 2021, doi: 10.3389/frobt.2021.611866.
- [20] F. Masaki, F. King, T. Kato, H. Tsukada, Y. Colson, and N. Hata, “Technical Validation of Multi-Section Robotic Bronchoscope with First Person View Control for Transbronchial Biopsies of Peripheral Lung,” *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 3534–3542, 2021, doi: 10.1109/TBME.2021.3077356.
- [21] P. Lim, L. G. Y. Ting, O. K. C. Douglas, H. An, C. M. Lim, and H. Ren, “Continuum NasoXplorer manipulator with shape memory actuators for transnasal exploration,” in *Control Theory in Biomedical Engineering*, Elsevier Inc., 2020, pp. 287–316. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-821350-6.00011-1.
- [22] Y. Kim, G. A. Parada, S. Liu, and X. Zhao, “Ferromagnetic soft continuum robots,” *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 4, no. 33, 2019, doi: 10.1126/SCIROBOTICS.AAX7329.
- [23] M. Wang, X. Dong, W. Ba, A. Mohammad, D. Axinte, and A. Norton, “Design, modelling and validation of a novel extra slender continuum robot for in-situ inspection and repair in aeroengine,” *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 67, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2020.102054.
- [24] X. Dong *et al.*, “Development of a slender continuum robotic system for on-wing inspection/repair of gas turbine engines,” *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 44, pp. 218–229, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2016.09.004.
- [25] A. J. Islam, S. S. Alam, K. T. Ahammad, F. K. Nadim, and B. Barua, “Design , Kinematic and Performance Evaluation of a Dual Arm Bomb Disposal Robot,” no. December, pp. 7–9, 2017.
- [26] A. A. Chowdhury, A. Hamid, and M. N. A. S. Ivan, “Implementation of Cost Effective Bomb Defusing Robot with Live Streaming Dual Camera Interface,” in *International Conference on Robotics, Electrical and Signal Processing Techniques*, 2021, pp. 439–444. doi: 10.1109/ICREST51555.2021.9331203.
- [27] E. Science, “Design of an Intelligent Inspection Robot for Underwater Pipelines Design of an Intelligent Inspection Robot for Underwater Pipelines,”

- pp. 0–7, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/769/4/042082.
- [28] T. Lho, “Implementation of an Underwater ROV for Detecting Foreign Objects in Water,” vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 61–66, 2021.
  - [29] “Medical Robot.” *Frontiers*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2018.00022/full>
  - [30] “A drone for inspecting high voltage towers.” *Finismes*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.finismes.com/2019/04/cyberhawk-innovations-receives-undisclosed-financing.html>
  - [31] “Military Robot Vehicle.” *Stackexchange*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://worldbuilding.stackexchange.com/questions/66215/what-would-be-a-good-reason-for-a-group-of-advanced-soldiers-not-to-clear-a-build>
  - [32] “Underwater robot.” *PureAdvantage*, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://pureadvantage.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Boxfish\\_ROV\\_dive\\_3.jpg](https://pureadvantage.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Boxfish_ROV_dive_3.jpg)
  - [33] Y. Tan, S. Li, H. Liu, P. Chen, and Z. Zhou, “Automatic inspection data collection of building surface based on BIM and UAV,” *Autom. Constr.*, vol. 131, no. August, p. 103881, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103881.
  - [34] K. Groves, E. Hernandez, A. West, T. Wright, and B. Lennox, “Robotic exploration of an unknown nuclear environment using radiation informed autonomous navigation,” *Robotics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2021, doi: 10.3390/robotics10020078.
  - [35] B. Alsadik and F. Nex, “The Rise in UAV Inspections for Civil Infrastructure,” *GIM Int.*, no. June, 2021, [Online]. Available: <https://www.gim-international.com/content/article/the-rise-in-uav-inspections-for-civil-infrastructure>
  - [36] H. Khairam, Y. M. Choong, N. S. N. Ismadi, W. A. F. W. Othman, A. A. A. Wahab, and S. S. N. Alhady, “Design and development of a low-cost pole climbing robot using Arduino Mega,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2021. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1969/1/012008.
  - [37] Z. Zheng, X. Yuan, H. Huang, X. Yu, and N. Ding, “Mechanical Design of a Cable Climbing Robot for Inspection on a Cable-Stayed Bridge,” in *Proceedings of the World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation (WCICA)*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 1680–1684. doi: 10.1109/WCICA.2018.8630709.
  - [38] S. Khan and S. Prabhu, “Design and fabrication of wheeled pole climbing

- robot with high payload capacity,” in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/402/1/012021.
- [39] J. JAISE, D. DINAKARAN, M. M. RAMYA, and D. G. HARRIS SAMUEL, “A Survey on Magnetizing Wall-Climbing Robots for Inspection,” *Int. J. Mech. Prod. Eng. Res. Dev.*, vol. 8, no. 6, 2018.
- [40] S. Seriani, L. Scalera, M. Caruso, A. Gasparetto, and P. Gallina, “Upside-down robots: Modeling and experimental validation of magnetic-adhesion mobile systems,” *Robotics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1–20, 2019, doi: 10.3390/robotics8020041.
- [41] M. G. Alkalla, M. A. Fanni, A. M. Mohamed, and S. Hashimoto, “Tele-operated propeller-type climbing robot for inspection of petrochemical vessels,” *Ind. Robot An Int. J.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 166–177, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1108/IR-07-2016-0182.
- [42] A. Papadimitriou, G. Andrikopoulos, and G. Nikolakopoulos, “Development and control of a differential wall climbing robot based on vortex adhesion,” in *2019 18th European Control Conference, ECC 2019*, EUCA, 2019, pp. 1610–1615. doi: 10.23919/ECC.2019.8796154.
- [43] Z. Zhao and G. Shirkoohi, “Climbing robot design for ndt inspection,” in *Human-Centric Robotics- Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Climbing and Walking Robots and the Support Technologies for Mobile Machines, CLAWAR 2017*, 2018, pp. 259–266. doi: 10.1142/9789813231047\_0033.
- [44] S. R. Kamalakannan and R. Ambigai, “Analysis of modular biped wall climbing robot with high mobility and effective transit mechanism,” in *International Conference on Computer, Communication, and Signal Processing: Special Focus on IoT, ICCCSF 2017*, IEEE, 2017. doi: 10.1109/ICCCSP.2017.7944060.
- [45] N. S. Vlasova and N. V Bykov, “The Problem of Adhesion Methods and Locomotion Mechanism Development for Wall-Climbing Robots,” pp. 51–54, 2019, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.09214>
- [46] Z. Li, Q. Xu, and L. M. Tam, “A survey on techniques and applications of window-cleaning robots,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 111518–111532, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3103757.
- [47] P. Nooralishahi *et al.*, “Drone-Based Non-Destructive Inspection of Industrial

- Sites: A Review and Case Studies,” *Drones*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 106, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.3390/drones5040106.
- [48] G. P. Mesquita, J. D. Rodríguez-Teijeiro, R. R. De Oliveira, and M. Mulero-Pázmány, “Steps to build a DIY low-cost fixed-wing drone for biodiversity conservation,” *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 8 August, pp. 1–17, 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255559.
- [49] M. E. Walker, H. Hedayati, and D. Szafir, “Robot Teleoperation with Augmented Reality Virtual Surrogates,” in *ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 202–210. doi: 10.1109/HRI.2019.8673306.
- [50] H. Huang *et al.*, “A review on underwater autonomous environmental perception and target grasp, the challenge of robotic organism capture,” *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 195, no. May 2019. Elsevier Ltd, p. 106644, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2019.106644.
- [51] E. Figetakis and A. Refaey, “UAV Path Planning Using on-Board Ultrasound Transducer Arrays and Edge Support,” in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops, ICC Workshops 2021 - Proceedings*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 0–5. doi: 10.1109/ICCWorkshops50388.2021.9473500.
- [52] “Four Propeller Drone.” Direct Industry, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.directindustry.com/prod/dji-innovations-company-limited/product-101659-2246778.html>
- [53] “Fixed Wind Drone.” Drone Rush, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.dronerush.com/best-fixed-wing-drones-vtol-10757/>
- [54] A. Townsend, I. N. Jiya, C. Martinson, D. Bessarabov, and R. Gouws, “A comprehensive review of energy sources for unmanned aerial vehicles, their shortfalls and opportunities for improvements,” *Heliyon*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. e05285, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05285.
- [55] M. F. M. Fauzi and M. Z. Rahim, “The Development of Tethered Drone Station,” *Res. Prog. Mech. Manuf. Eng.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 605–613, 2022.
- [56] M. Amorim and A. Lousada, “Tethered drone for precision agriculture,” 2021.
- [57] M. H. Nordin, S. Sharma, A. Khan, M. Gianni, S. Rajendran, and R. Sutton, “Collaborative Unmanned Vehicles for Inspection, Maintenance, and Repairs of Offshore Wind Turbines,” *Drones*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 1–26, 2022, doi:



10.3390/drones6060137.

- [58] K. P. Jain and M. W. Mueller, “Flying batteries: In-flight battery switching to increase multirotor flight time,” in *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 2020, pp. 3510–3516. doi: 10.1109/ICRA40945.2020.9197580.
- [59] M. Gao, C. H. Hugenholtz, T. A. Fox, M. Kucharczyk, T. E. Barchyn, and P. R. Nesbit, “Weather constraints on global drone flyability,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-91325-w.
- [60] K. Helmer, “Unmanned Surface Vessels as a safer and more sustainable alternative for maritime operations within the Offshore Safety Zone,” Høgskolen på Vestlandet, 2021.
- [61] Z. He, S. Jiang, J. Zhang, and G. Wu, “Automatic damage detection using anchor-free method and unmanned surface vessel,” *Autom. Constr.*, vol. 133, no. October 2021, p. 104017, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.104017.
- [62] C. Zhang, J. Liu, J. Xiao, and J. Xiong, “Review on light vision detection of surface obstacles for USV,” in *Proceedings - 2021 36th Youth Academic Annual Conference of Chinese Association of Automation, YAC 2021*, 2021, pp. 390–395. doi: 10.1109/YAC53711.2021.9486488.
- [63] Y. Nishida, N. Sohara, S. Yasukawa, and K. Ishii, “Crack detection in a concrete structure using an underwater vehicle,” in *Proceedings of International Conference on Artificial Life and Robotics*, 2021, pp. 777–781. doi: 10.5954/icarob.2021.os23-1.
- [64] “‘Protector’ USV.” NATO Association of Canada. [Online]. Available: <https://natoassociation.ca/canadas-next-submarine-hunters/>
- [65] “Saildrone USV.” Wikipedia. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:SD\\_1036.jpg](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:SD_1036.jpg)
- [66] J. Neira, C. Sequeiros, R. Huamani, E. Machaca, P. Fonseca, and W. Nina, “Review on Unmanned Underwater Robotics, Structure Designs, Materials, Sensors, Actuators, and Navigation Control,” *J. Robot.*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–26, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1155/2021/5542920.
- [67] A. G. Rumson, “The application of fully unmanned robotic systems for inspection of subsea pipelines,” *Ocean Eng.*, vol. 235, no. January, p. 109214, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2021.109214.
- [68] W. Gorma *et al.*, “Development of modular bio-inspired autonomous

- underwater vehicle for close subsea asset inspection,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 12, 2021, doi: 10.3390/app11125401.
- [69] K. K. N, S. Pravin, S. Sundriyal, and S. Asok, “Overview of Remotely Operated Vehicles Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education Research Article,” *Turkish J. Comput. Math. Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 13, pp. 2297–2305, 2021.
- [70] F. Campagnaro, A. Signori, and M. Zorzi, “Wireless remote control for underwater vehicles,” *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1–32, 2020, doi: 10.3390/JMSE8100736.
- [71] “Autonomous Underwater Vehicle.” Underwater Vision and Robotics Lab, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://cirs.udg.edu/auvs-technology/auvs/sparus-ii-auv/>
- [72] “Underwater Remotely Operated Vehicle.” Flickr, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.flickr.com/photos/noaaphotolib/5102289970/>
- [73] Y. Tangi *et al.*, “Development, sea trial and application of haidou autonomous and remotely-operated vehicle for full-depth ocean detection,” in *Proceedings of 2020 3rd International Conference on Unmanned Systems, ICUS 2020*, 2020, pp. 73–77. doi: 10.1109/ICUS50048.2020.9274814.
- [74] L. L. Whitcomb *et al.*, “Navigation and control of the Nereus hybrid underwater vehicle for global ocean science to 10,903 m depth: Preliminary results,” in *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, IEEE, 2010, pp. 594–600. doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.2010.5509265.
- [75] C. Mai, S. Pedersen, L. Hansen, K. L. Jepsen, and Z. Yang, “Subsea infrastructure inspection: A review study,” in *USYS 2016 - 2016 IEEE 6th International Conference on Underwater System Technology: Theory and Applications*, 2017, pp. 71–76. doi: 10.1109/USYS.2016.7893928.
- [76] M. VS and K. RI, “Automatic Pick and Place Robot Manipulation Using a Microcontroller,” *J. Appl. Comput. Math.*, vol. 07, no. 03, 2018, doi: 10.4172/2168-9679.1000408.
- [77] D. Robot, T. E. Decades, and I. Robotics, “Università degli studi di Udine From the Unimate to the Delta Robot : The Early Decades of Industrial Robotics From the Unimate to the Delta robot : the early decades of Industrial Robotics,” vol. 37, no. March, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-03538-9.
- [78] H. M. Yudha, T. Dewi, P. Risma, and Y. Oktarina, “Arm robot manipulator



- design and control for trajectory tracking; A review,” *Int. Conf. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci. Informatics*, vol. 2018-Octob, pp. 304–309, 2018, doi: 10.1109/EECSI.2018.8752950.
- [79] K. Suzumori and A. A. Faudzi, “Trends in hydraulic actuators and components in legged and tough robots: a review,” *Adv. Robot.*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 458–476, 2018, doi: 10.1080/01691864.2018.1455606.
- [80] R. Benotsmane, L. Dudás, and G. Kovács, “Survey on New Trends of Robotic Tools in the Automotive Industry,” in *Vehicle and Automotive Engineering*, Miskolc, Hungary, Hungary: Springer, 2020, pp. 443–457. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-9529-5\_38.
- [81] S. Suri, A. Jain, N. Verma, and N. Prasertpoj, “SCARA Industrial Automation Robot,” *2018 Int. Conf. Power Energy, Environ. Intell. Control. PEEIC 2018*, pp. 173–177, 2019, doi: 10.1109/PEEIC.2018.8665440.
- [82] I. Fassi, S. P. Negri, C. Pagano, and L. Rebaioli, “Chapter 7 Industrial Robots 4 . 0,” 2020, pp. 191–213.
- [83] E. C. Tsirogiannis, *Reverse Engineering , Redesign and Topology Optimization for Additive Manufacturing of an Industrial Robot Arm Link*, no. January. National Technical University of Athens, 2019.
- [84] H. Sun, Z. Liu, and J. Zhang, “Defect-sensitive testing data analysis method for industrial robots quality inspection,” in *Proceedings of 2021 IEEE 10th Data Driven Control and Learning Systems Conference, DDCLS 2021*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 513–517. doi: 10.1109/DDCLS52934.2021.9455637.
- [85] C. Naverschnigg, E. Csencsics, and G. Schitter, “Flexible Robot-Based In-Line Measurement System for High-Precision Optical Surface Inspection,” *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 71, pp. 1–9, 2022, doi: 10.1109/tim.2022.3216680.
- [86] C. Lee *et al.*, “Soft robot review,” *Int. J. Control. Autom. Syst.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s12555-016-0462-3.
- [87] L. Wang, S. G. Nurzaman, and F. Iida, “Soft-Material Robotics,” *Found. Trends Robot.*, vol. 5, no. 3, 2017.
- [88] Y. Masuda and M. Ishikawa, “Development of a deformation-driven rolling robot with a soft outer shell,” in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM)*, IEEE, Jul. 2017, pp. 1651–1656. doi: 10.1109/AIM.2017.8014255.

- [89] R. Chen *et al.*, “Legless soft robots capable of rapid, continuous, and steered jumping,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 7028, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-27265-w.
- [90] Y. Ma, Y. Wei, and D. Kong, “A Biologically Inspired Height-Adjustable Jumping Robot,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 5167, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.3390/app11115167.
- [91] X. Chen, P. Stegagno, and C. Yuan, “A Cable-Driven Switching-Legged Inchworm Soft Robot: Design and Testing,” in *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, American Automatic Control Council, 2021, pp. 2–7. doi: 10.23919/ACC50511.2021.9482921.
- [92] D. Drotman, S. Jadhav, D. Sharp, C. Chan, and M. T. Tolley, “Electronics-free pneumatic circuits for controlling soft-legged robots,” *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 6, no. 51, 2021, doi: 10.1126/SCIROBOTICS.AAY2627.
- [93] B. Xia, J. Fu, H. Zhu, Z. Song, Y. Jiang, and H. Lipson, “A Legged Soft Robot Platform for Dynamic Locomotion,” in *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 11812–11819. doi: 10.1109/ICRA48506.2021.9561018.
- [94] M. M. Ghazaly, S. N. M. Basar, and M. S. Lagani, “Design Optimization & Analysis of a Soft Crawling Robot,” *Int. J. Integr. Eng.*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 285–298, 2021, doi: 10.30880/ijie.2021.13.06.025.
- [95] Y. Liu, B. Chen, W. Li, L. Zu, W. Tang, and Z. L. Wang, “Bioinspired Triboelectric Soft Robot Driven by Mechanical Energy,” *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, vol. 31, no. 38, 2021, doi: 10.1002/adfm.202104770.
- [96] Y. Wang, J. Wang, and Y. Fei, “Design and Modeling of Tetrahedral Soft-Legged Robot for Multi-gait Locomotion,” *IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1288–1298, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TMECH.2021.3087611.
- [97] Y. Zhang, D. Yang, P. Yan, P. Zhou, J. Zou, and G. Gu, “Inchworm Inspired Multimodal Soft Robots with Crawling, Climbing, and Transitioning Locomotion,” *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1806–1819, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2021.3115257.
- [98] S. Michel and C. Dürager, “Electro active polymers as a novel actuator technology for lighter-than-air vehicles,” *Electroact. Polym. Actuators Devices*, vol. 6524, no. 2007, p. 31, 2007, doi: 10.1117/12.716658.
- [99] J. Liu, S. Zhang, X. Guo, R. Wang, Y. Zou, and Q. Shi, “Design of a Bird-

- inspired Flapping Wing Robot Based on Spatial Four-bar Mechanism,” pp. 846–851, 2014.
- [100] R. Zufferey *et al.*, “Design of the High-Payload Flapping Wing Robot E-Flap,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 3097–3104, 2021, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2021.3061373.
- [101] X. Yang, Y. Chen, L. Chang, A. A. Calderon, and N. O. Perez-Arancibia, “Bee + : A 95-mg Four-Winged Insect-Scale Flying Robot Driven by Twinned Unimorph Actuators,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 4270–4277, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2019.2931177.
- [102] H. V. Phan, S. Aurecianus, T. Kang, and H. C. Park, “KUBeetle-S: An insect-like, tailless, hover-capable robot that can fly with a low-torque control mechanism,” *Int. J. Micro Air Veh.*, vol. 11, p. 175682931986137, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1177/1756829319861371.
- [103] S. M. Youssef, M. Soliman, M. A. Saleh, M. A. Mousa, M. Elsamanty, and A. G. Radwan, “Underwater Soft Robotics: A Review of Bioinspiration in Design, Actuation, Modeling, and Control,” *Micromachines*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2022, doi: 10.3390/mi13010110.
- [104] G. Li *et al.*, “Self-powered soft robot in the Mariana Trench,” *Nature*, vol. 591, no. 7848, pp. 66–71, 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-03153-z.
- [105] T. Chen, O. R. Bilal, K. Shea, and C. Daraio, “Harnessing bistability for directional propulsion of soft, untethered robots,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 115, no. 22, pp. 5698–5702, 2018, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1800386115.
- [106] C. Christianson *et al.*, “Cephalopod-inspired robot capable of cyclic jet propulsion through shape change,” *Bioinspiration and Biomimetics*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1088/1748-3190/abbc72.
- [107] X. Bi and Q. Zhu, “Free swimming of a squid-inspired axisymmetric system through jet propulsion,” *Bioinspiration and Biomimetics*, vol. 16, no. 6, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1748-3190/ac3061.
- [108] S. Aracri *et al.*, “Soft Robots for Ocean Exploration and Offshore Operations: A Perspective,” *Soft Robot.*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 625–639, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1089/soro.2020.0011.
- [109] L. Shui, L. Zhu, Z. Yang, Y. Liu, and X. Chen, “Energy efficiency of mobile soft robots,” *Soft Matter*, vol. 13, no. 44, pp. 8223–8233, 2017, doi: 10.1039/c7sm01617d.

- [110] G. Alici, *Robotics-soft robotics*, vol. 1–3. Elsevier Inc., 2019. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-801238-3.99907-0.
- [111] M. D. Gilbertson, G. McDonald, G. Korinek, J. D. Van De Ven, and T. M. Kowalewski, “Serially Actuated Locomotion for Soft Robots in Tube-Like Environments,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1140–1147, 2017, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2017.2662060.
- [112] J. D. Greer, T. K. Morimoto, A. M. Okamura, and E. W. Hawkes, “A Soft, Steerable Continuum Robot That Grows via Tip Extension,” *Soft Robot.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 95–108, 2019, doi: 10.1089/soro.2018.0034.
- [113] M. Takeichi, K. Suzumori, G. Endo, and H. Nabae, “Development of Giacometti Arm with Balloon Body,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 951–957, 2017, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2017.2655111.
- [114] M. Runciman, A. Darzi, and G. P. Mylonas, “Soft Robotics in Minimally Invasive Surgery,” *Soft Robot.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 423–443, 2019, doi: 10.1089/soro.2018.0136.
- [115] Y. Kim, S. S. Cheng, and J. P. Desai, “Active Stiffness Tuning of a Spring-Based Continuum Robot for MRI-Guided Neurosurgery,” *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2017.2750692.
- [116] L. H. Blumenschein, L. T. Gan, J. A. Fan, A. M. Okamura, and E. W. Hawkes, “A Tip-Extending Soft Robot Enables Reconfigurable and Deployable Antennas,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 949–956, 2018, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2018.2793303.
- [117] T. Tokunaga, K. Oka, and A. Harada, “1segment continuum manipulator for automatic harvesting robot - Prototype and modeling,” in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation, ICMA 2017*, 2017, pp. 1655–1659. doi: 10.1109/ICMA.2017.8016065.
- [118] M. T. Shoani, M. N. Ribuan, and A. A. M. Faudzi, “Characteristics of a tendon driven soft gate for canal flow regulation,” in *IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, AIM*, 2020, pp. 163–168. doi: 10.1109/AIM43001.2020.9158958.
- [119] S. Kolachalama and S. Lakshmanan, “Continuum robots for manipulation applications: A survey,” *J. Robot.*, vol. 2020, 2020, doi: 10.1155/2020/4187048.
- [120] M. M. Coad *et al.*, “Vine robots: Design, teleoperation, and deployment for

- navigation and exploration,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Mag.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 120–132, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1109/MRA.2019.2947538.
- [121] Y. Zhong, L. Hu, and Y. Xu, “Recent advances in design and actuation of continuum robots for medical applications,” *Actuators*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1–30, 2020, doi: 10.3390/act9040142.
- [122] I. D. Walker and C. University, “Use of continuum robots for remote inspection operations,” *Proc. Comput. Conf. 2017*, vol. 2018-Janua, no. July, pp. 1382–1385, 2018, doi: 10.1109/SAI.2017.8252274.
- [123] A. Vandini, C. Bergeles, B. Glocker, P. Giataganas, and G. Z. Yang, “Unified Tracking and Shape Estimation for Concentric Tube Robots,” *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 901–915, 2017, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2017.2690977.
- [124] J. Ha, F. C. Park, and P. E. Dupont, “Optimizing Tube Precurvature to Enhance the Elastic Stability of Concentric Tube Robots,” *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 22–37, 2017, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2016.2622278.
- [125] J. O. Alcaide, L. Pearson, and M. E. Rentschler, “Design, modeling and control of a SMA-actuated biomimetic robot with novel functional skin,” *Proc. - IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom.*, pp. 4338–4345, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2017.7989500.
- [126] A. A. Remirez and R. J. Webster, “A new continuum robot with crossed elastic strips: Extensible sections with only one actuator per section,” in *ASME 2015 Dynamic Systems and Control Conference, DSCC 2015*, 2015. doi: 10.1115/DSCC2015-9919.
- [127] T. Morales Bieze, A. Kruszewski, B. Carrez, and C. Duriez, “Design, implementation, and control of a deformable manipulator robot based on a compliant spine,” *Int. J. Rob. Res.*, vol. 39, no. 14, pp. 1604–1619, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0278364920910487.
- [128] A. K. Mishra, A. Mondini, E. Del Dottore, A. Sadeghi, F. Tramacere, and B. Mazzolai, “Modular continuum manipulator: Analysis and characterization of its basic module,” *Biomimetics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2018, doi: 10.3390/biomimetics3010003.
- [129] Z. Li, L. Wu, H. Ren, and H. Yu, “Kinematic comparison of surgical tendon-driven manipulators and concentric tube manipulators,” *Mech. Mach. Theory*, vol. 107, no. September 2016, pp. 148–165, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2016.09.018.

- [130] Ş. Yavuz, M. M. İlman, and B. Binici, “Modeling approach and analysis time comparison of single-link flexible steel- and epoxy-glass/carbon-fiber composite manipulators,” *Structures*, vol. 26, no. January, pp. 396–405, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.istruc.2020.04.038.
- [131] K. Hsiao and H. Mochiyama, “A wire-driven continuum manipulator model without assuming shape curvature constancy,” *IEEE Int. Conf. Intell. Robot. Syst.*, vol. 2017-Sept, pp. 436–443, 2017, doi: 10.1109/IROS.2017.8202190.
- [132] S. Bhattacharjee *et al.*, “Kinematics and Teleoperation of Tendon Driven Continuum Robot,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 133, pp. 879–886, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2018.07.106.
- [133] S. M. Mustaza, Y. Elsayed, C. Lekakou, C. Saaj, and J. Fras, “Dynamic modeling of fiber-reinforced soft manipulator: A visco-hyperelastic material-based continuum mechanics approach,” *Soft Robot.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 305–317, 2019, doi: 10.1089/soro.2018.0032.
- [134] Z. Zhang, J. Dequidt, J. Back, H. Liu, and C. Duriez, “Motion Control of Cable-Driven Continuum Catheter Robot Through Contacts,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1852–1859, 2019, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2019.2898047.
- [135] S.-G. Jeong *et al.*, “A Tip Mount for Transporting Sensors and Tools using Soft Growing Robots,” *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1074–1081, 2019, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.08297>
- [136] E. Y. Yarbasi and E. Samur, “Design and evaluation of a continuum robot with extendable balloons,” *Mech. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 51–60, 2018, doi: 10.5194/ms-9-51-2018.
- [137] K. Zhang, C. Qiu, and J. S. Dai, “An extensible continuum robot with integrated origami parallel modules,” *J. Mech. Robot.*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2016, doi: 10.1115/1.4031808.
- [138] G. Park, J. Hong, S. Yoo, H. S. Kim, and T. Seo, “Design of a 3-DOF Parallel Manipulator to Compensate for Disturbances in Facade Cleaning,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 9015–9022, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2964010.
- [139] metode penelitian Nursalam, 2016 and A. . Fallis, “Design and analysis of a novel pneumatic manipulator,” *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1689–1699, 2013.
- [140] H. Wang, G. H. Gao, Q. Xia, H. Ren, L. S. Li, and Y. Zheng, “Accuracy



- estimation of a stretch-retractable single section continuum manipulator based on inverse kinematics,” *Ind. Rob.*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 573–580, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IR-06-2018-0122.
- [141] G. Gao, C. Liu, and H. Wang, “Kinematic accuracy of picking robot constructed by wire-driven continuum structure,” *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part E J. Process Mech. Eng.*, vol. 235, no. 2, pp. 299–311, 2021, doi: 10.1177/0954408920948683.
- [142] Y. Zhang and G. Gao, “Design and kinematics on biased channel retractable continuum robot,” *Proc. - 2019 2nd World Conf. Mech. Eng. Intell. Manuf. WCMEIM 2019*, pp. 541–544, 2019, doi: 10.1109/WCMEIM48965.2019.00115.
- [143] “DC Motor.” Wikimedia Commons, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Permanent\\_magnet\\_DC\\_electric\\_motors#/media/File:DC\\_Motor.jpg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Permanent_magnet_DC_electric_motors#/media/File:DC_Motor.jpg)
- [144] “Servo Motor.” ElectricalEasy, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.electricaleasy.com/2015/01/how-does-servo-motor-work.html>
- [145] “Stepper Motor.” Wiki52Pi, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://wiki.52pi.com/images/8/88/C112\\_2.jpg](https://wiki.52pi.com/images/8/88/C112_2.jpg)
- [146] “Wolfram MathWorld - Prolate Spheroid,” *Wofram Alpha Mathworld*, 2022.



## APPENDIX C

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- [1] M. Shoani, M. N. Ribuan, A. A. Mohd Faudzi, and S. Mohamaddan, "Reducing Actuators in Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 462, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.3390/app13010462.
- [2] M. T. Shoani and M. N. Ribuan, "A Novel Approach for Reducing Actuators in Soft Continuum Robots and Manipulators," in *International Conference on Robot Intelligence Technology and Applications*, 2022, vol. 429, pp. 181–190. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-97672-9.
- [3] M. T. Shoani, M. N. Ribuan, and A. A. M. Faudzi, "Design, fabrication, and performance analysis of a vertically suspended soft manipulator," *Int. J. Autom. Technol.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 696–705, 2021, doi: 10.20965/ijat.2021.p0696.
- [4] M. T. Shoani, M. N. Ribuan, and A. A. Mohd Faudzi, *Design and Analysis of a Vertically Suspended Soft Manipulator*, vol. 3, no. RiTA2020. Springer Singapore, 2021. doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-4803-8\_24.
- [5] M. T. Shoani, M. N. Ribuan, and A. A. M. Faudzi, "Characteristics of a tendon driven soft gate for canal flow regulation," in *IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, AIM*, 2020, vol. 2020-July, pp. 163–168. doi: 10.1109/AIM43001.2020.9158958.
- [6] J. H. Umarlebbe, A. Lebbe, and M. Ayoobkhan, "Evaluation of a Tendon Driven Soft Manipulator for Canal Irrigation Control," in *1st International Conference on Universal Wellbeing*, 2019, no. December, pp. 175–177. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337783081>
- [7] C. G. Practice *et al.*, "Extendable Hybrid Linear Actuator: A Mini-Review," *PERINTIS e-Journal*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 257–266, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://perintis.org.my/ejournal/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Paper-5-OHLA-1.13.13.1-11.11-Revised-3.2-27-12-2019.pdf>



## APPENDIX D

### VITA

The author was born on September 14<sup>th</sup>, 1968, in Iraq. He pursued his degree at the University of Technology in Baghdad, Iraq, and graduated with B.Sc. in Computer Engineering in 1991, ranking 7<sup>th</sup> out of 46 graduates. In 1994, he travelled to Sana'a in Yemen and worked at several computer companies, before travelling to the United Arab Emirates in 1998, where he worked for a computer trading company in Jebel Ali, Dubai. In 2001, he started teaching in public schools, where he taught the subject of computers to middle and secondary school students. In 2007 he joined the American International School in Abu Dhabi (AISA) where he worked for four years. In 2012 he started his master's degree at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) under the supervision of Prof. Shamsuddin bin Hj Mohd Amin, where he worked on an Autonomous Security Robot project. From the Autumn of 2015 to the summer of 2017, he worked as a part-time lecturer in three private universities in Erbil, Iraq: The University of Erbil, Cihan University, and The Lebanese French University. In the Autumn of 2017, He started his Ph.D. study, initially studying hybrid structures, but later focusing on soft continuum manipulators. During his Ph.D. course of study, Mr. Shoani authored several papers related to hybrid and soft robotics.